## **Construction of Some New Projective Planes**

G. Eric Moorhouse, University of Wyoming

http://math.uwyo.edu/~moorhous/pub/planes/

n	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	11
number of planes of order <i>n</i> known	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1

n	13	16	17	19	23	25	27	29
number of planes of order <i>n</i> known	1	22	1	1	1	193	13	1

### **Known Planes of Order 25**



Translation planes a1,...,a8; b1,...,b8; s1,...,s5 classified by Czerwinski & Oakden (1992)

# The Wyoming Plains

|Aut(w1)| = 19200|Aut(w2)| = 3200

# The Wyoming Planes

### Thanks to my coauthor...



#### Thanks to nauty and GAP !

I make extensive use of Brendan McKay's software package nauty; also GAP for group computations.

Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , **nauty** will determine

• the automorphism group of  $\Gamma$ , and

• a 'canonical' representative of the isomorphism class of  $\Gamma$ . (Thus  $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$  iff the graphs  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  have the same canonical representative.)

This can be applied to the *incidence graph*  $\Gamma_{\Pi}$  of a projective plane  $\Pi$  of order n. This is a bipartite graph with  $2(n^2 + n + 1)$  vertices (one for each point/line of  $\Pi$ ) and edges corresponding to incident point-line pairs. Note that Aut( $\Gamma_{\Pi}$ ) is the group of all *collineations* and *correlations* of  $\Pi$ . If desired, **nauty** can preserve the two parts of the partition, thereby obtaining just the collineations of  $\Pi$ .

#### Limitations of nauty

Projective planes are time-consuming cases for nauty.

Planes of order 16 require minutes with Gordon Royle's invariant cellfano2). Planes of order 25 or 27 require hours or days. Planes of order 32 are infeasible.

A new idea is needed!

#### Better Approach: 'Conway Doubling'

Let  $\Pi$  be a projective plane of order n. We define a graph  $\Delta_{\Pi}$  with  $4(n^2 + n + 1)$  vertices (*roughly* a double cover of the *non*-incidence graph  $\Gamma_{\Pi}$ ) such that

•  $\Delta_{\Pi} \cong \Delta_{\Pi'}$  iff  $\Gamma_{\Pi} \cong \Gamma_{\Pi'}$  iff  $\Pi \cong \Pi'$ ;

• Aut( $\Delta_{\Pi}$ ) is usually much faster to compute than Aut( $\Pi$ ), and

• Aut( $\Pi$ ) = Aut( $\Delta_{\Pi}$ )/Z (where  $Z \subseteq Z(Aut(\Delta_{\Pi}))$ ) is easily obtained.

#### Definition of $\Delta_{\Pi}$

Let  $F = \{0, 1\}$  be the field of order two. Vertices of  $\Delta_{\Pi}$ are of the form (P, i), (L, j) where P and L are a point and line of  $\Pi$ , and  $i, j \in F$ . Adjacency ... Index the points on each line using  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$  using a fixed (but arbitrary) ordering. Index the lines through each point using  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . For each non-incident P, L in  $\Pi$ , we obtain a permutation  $\sigma_{P,L}$  of the symbols  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

There are two types of edges in 
$$\Delta_{\Pi}$$
:  
(I)  $(P,i) \sim (L,j)$  iff  
 $P \notin L$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_{P,L}) = (-1)^{i+j}$   
(II)  $(P,0) \sim (P,1)$ ,  $(L,0) \sim (L,1)$ 

Type (I) edges form a double cover of  $\Gamma_{\Pi}$ . Type (II) edges ensure that  $\Delta_{\Pi}$  is connected; without them,  $\Delta_{\Pi}$  could be two disjoint copies of  $\Gamma_{\Pi}$ , with automorphism group Aut( $\Pi$ )  $\geq 2$ . (This happens if  $\Pi$  is a classical plane of even order.)

 $Z \subseteq Z(\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta_{\Pi}))$  is the subgroup of order two generated by

$$(P,0) \leftrightarrow (P,1), \quad (L,0) \leftrightarrow (L,1).$$

**Theorem.**  $Aut(\Delta_{\Pi})/Z = Aut(\Gamma_{\Pi}) = Aut(\Pi).$ 

### Where do the new planes come from?



### quotient by τ, an automorphism of order 2





Given a projective plane P with involution  $\tau \in Aut(\Pi)$ , let  $\Pi/\tau$  be the incidence structure induced on point and line orbits of size 2.

 $\Pi/\tau$  yields a cell complex  $\Delta$  having

- vertices (0-cochains): points, blocks of  $\Pi/\tau$
- edges (1-cochains): flags of  $\Pi/\tau$
- square faces (2-cochains): "digons" of  $\Pi/\tau$

$$C^{i} = C^{i}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_{2}) = \mathbb{F}_{2}$$
-space of *i*-cochains

coboundary map  $C^0 \stackrel{\delta^0}{\rightarrow} C^1 \stackrel{\delta^1}{\rightarrow} C^2$ 

 $H^1 = H^1(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2) = \ker \delta^1 / \operatorname{im} \delta^0$ 

#### If $H^1 = 0$ then $\Pi/\tau$ lifts uniquely back to $\Pi$ .

#### Theorem.

Equivalence classes of pairs ( $\Pi, \tau$ ) covering the same  $\Pi/\tau$ 



orbits of Aut( $\Pi/\tau$ ) on  $H^1(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2)$ 

 $C^{i} = C^{i}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_{2}) = \mathbb{F}_{2}$ -space of *i*-cochains coboundary map  $C^{0} \xrightarrow{\delta^{0}} C^{1} \xrightarrow{\delta^{1}} C^{2}$  $H^{1} = H^{1}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_{2}) = \ker \delta^{1} / \operatorname{im} \delta^{0}$ 

#### If $H^1 = 0$ then $\Pi/\tau$ lifts uniquely back to $\Pi$ .

#### Theorem.

Equivalence classes of pairs ( $\Pi, \tau$ ) covering the same  $\Pi/\tau$ 



### orbits of Aut( $\Pi/\tau$ ) on $H^1(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2)$

actually, a particular coset of  $H^1 = Z^1/B^1$ in  $C^1/B^1$ ...

 $C^{i} = C^{i}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_{2}) = \mathbb{F}_{2}$ -space of *i*-cochains coboundary map  $C^{0} \xrightarrow{\delta^{0}} C^{1} \xrightarrow{\delta^{1}} C^{2}$  $H^{1} = H^{1}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_{2}) = \ker \delta^{1} / \operatorname{im} \delta^{0}$ 

#### If $H^1 = 0$ then $\Pi/\tau$ lifts uniquely back to $\Pi$ .

#### Theorem.

Equivalence classes of pairs ( $\Pi, \tau$ ) covering the same  $\Pi/\tau$ 



orbits of Aut( $\Pi/\tau$ ) on  $H^1(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2)$ 

In all cases I have examined, dim  $H^1(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2) \leq 4$ .

For any given plane  $\Pi$ ,

- compute  $G = Aut(\Pi)$ ;
- find a representative τ for each conjugacy class of involutions in G;
- compute *H*<sup>1;</sup>
- if  $H^1 \neq 0$ , find orbits of Aut( $\Pi/\tau$ ) on  $H^1$ .

### **Known Planes of Order 25**



Translation planes a1,...,a8; b1,...,b8; s1,...,s5 classified by Czerwinski & Oakden (1992)











### Why only consider *involutions* $\tau \in Aut(\Pi)$ ?

In this case the problem of lifting  $\Pi/\tau$  to  $\Pi$  amounts to solving a linear system.

In any double cover, the fibres are necessarily  $\tau$ -orbits for some involution  $\tau$ .

Also tested:

- the 18 smallest known generalised quadrangles;
- the 4 smallest known generalised hexagons;
- the smallest known generalised octagon.

In only one case is  $H^1$  nontrivial: dim  $H^1 = 1$  for the GQ with s=3, t=5.

We are having to solve linear systems over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with thousands of unknowns.

Space constraints (computer memory) is the chief limitation in testing larger generalised polygons.