## Some Consequences of Field Characteristic

Recall that a field F has characteristic zero if

$$na := \underbrace{a + a + \dots + a}_{n} \neq 0$$

for all  $a \in F$  and every natural number  $n \ge 1$ . If na = 0 for some nonzero  $a \in F$  and  $n \ge 1$ , then the smallest positive n for which this occurs is a prime p, called the characteristic of F. The unique smallest subfield of F is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , according as char F = 0 or p. This unique smallest subfield of F is called the *prime subfield of* F. Every subfield of F contains the prime subfield.

**Theorem 1.** Let F be a field of prime characteristic p. Then the map  $a \mapsto a^p$  is a one-to-one homomorphism of F.

*Proof.* Clearly  $(ab)^p = a^p b^p$  for all  $a, b \in F$ , and  $1^p = 1$ . Also

$$(a+b)^p = a^p + pa^{p-1}b + {p \choose 2}a^{p-2}b^2 + \dots + pab^{p-1} + b^p = a^p + b^p$$

since the binomial coefficients  $\binom{p}{k}$  all vanish for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ . Thus  $a \mapsto a^p$  is a homomorphism of rings with identity. Now the kernel of this homomorphism consists of all  $a \in F$  such that  $a^p = 0$ , i.e. a = 0; so the homomorphism is one-to-one.

**Theorem 2.** If F is a finite field, then  $|F| = p^r$  for some prime p and integer  $r \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $|F| < \infty$  then F has no subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so the prime subfield of F is  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for some prime p. Let  $r = [F : \mathbb{F}_p]$ , so that F has a basis  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Elements of F are uniquely represented in the form

$$a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_r\alpha_r, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

There are exactly  $p^r$  such linear combinations, so  $|F| = p^r$ .

If  $|F| = p^r$  then the map  $a \mapsto a^p$  is in fact an automorphism of F. (It is one-to-one by Theorem 1; but since F is finite, every one-to-one map is also onto.)

For example, the field  $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \beta\}$  has characteristic 2; it is an extension of degree 2 of its prime subfield  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ . We have seen that the map  $a \mapsto a^2$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . In fact  $\mathbb{F}_4$  has just two automorphisms, the identity map and the map  $a \mapsto a^2$ .

Consider also the field  $F_{25} = \mathbb{F}_5[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_5\}$ . This field has just two automorphisms, the identity and the map  $x \mapsto x^5$  which in fact is just the familiar 'conjugation' map since

$$(a+b\sqrt{2})^5 = a^5 + b^5(\sqrt{2})^5 = a - b\sqrt{2}.$$

(Note that  $\sqrt{2}^5 = 4\sqrt{2} = -\sqrt{2}$ .)

For every prime p and integer  $r \ge 1$ , it may be shown that there is a field of order  $q = p^r$ ; and it is unique up to isomorphism. This field is denoted  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . It has exactly r automorphisms, namely  $1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \ldots, \sigma^{r-1}$  where  $\sigma : x \mapsto x^p$ . Note that  $\sigma^i : x \mapsto x^{p^i}$ .

If F is an infinite field of prime characteristic p, then the monomorphism  $\sigma: x \mapsto x^p$  may or may not be onto; for example if  $F = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ , then  $\sigma$  is not onto; its image is the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_p(t^p)$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p((t^p))$  respectively, a proper subfield isomorphic to F. This observation leads into the next topic:

Consider a polynomial  $f(t) \in F[t]$ , and let  $\alpha \in E \supseteq F$  where E is an extension field. We say  $\alpha$  is a root of multiplicity k if  $(t - \alpha)^k$  divides f(t) in E[t], but  $(t - \alpha)^{k+1}$  does not divide f(t). Every root is either a simple root (i.e. a root of multiplicity 1) or a multiple root (i.e. a root of multiplicity at least 2). If  $f(t) \in F[t]$  is irreducible over F, can f(t) have a multiple root in an extension field E? It depends.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $f(t) \in F[t]$  is irreducible over F. If F has characteristic zero, then f(t) has no multiple roots in any extension field  $E \supseteq F$ .

Proof. Let  $f(t) = a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_nt^n \in F[t]$  where  $a_i \in F$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . If f has a multiple root  $\alpha \in E \supseteq F$ , then  $f(t) = (t - \alpha)^2 g(t)$  for some  $g(t) \in E[t]$ , so  $f'(t) = 2(t - \alpha)g(t) + (t - \alpha)^2 g'(t)$  and  $f'(\alpha) = 0$ . Assuming char F = 0, this gives  $f'(t) = a_1 + 2a_2t + \cdots + na_nt^{n-1} \in F[t]$  where  $na_n \neq 0$  so  $\deg f'(t) = n-1$  and  $\gcd(f(t), f'(t)) = 1$  since f(t) is irreducible. By the Extended Euclidean Algorithm,

$$u(t)f(t) + v(t)f'(t) = 1$$

for some  $u(t), v(t) \in F[t]$  so  $0 = u(\alpha)f(\alpha) + v(\alpha)f'(\alpha) = 1$ , a contradiction.

The same conclusion holds if E is finite. However, if E is an infinite field of prime characteristic p, then the conclusion fails: consider  $E = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$  with subfield  $F = \mathbb{F}_p(x^p)$ . Then the polynomial  $f(t) = t^p - x^p \in F[t]$  is irreducible over F, but factors as  $f(t) = (t-x)^p$  over E, by Theorem 1. (You should regard x as a constant here, and t as the variable.) Note that f'(t) = 0 in this case so  $\gcd(f(t), f'(t)) = f(t)$ ; for this reason, the proof of Theorem 3 doesn't apply here.