## Math 5605 Algebraic Topology

Book 2

when are two covering maps of X equivalant? Say Y - + > X, Y'-+ > X are covering maps Graph i.e. combinatorial graph with vertices \$1,2,3,43 and edges \$\$1,23, \$1,33, ---, \$3,433. eg. X = X is the geometric realization of this graph braned as disjoint union of copies of [9,1] with endpoints identified as required by the picture. I and I have the same geometric realization although they are defferent graphes. A homomorphism of graphs  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{f} \Gamma'$  is a map  $V(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{f} V(\Gamma')$  preserving adjacency i.e.  $x \sim y$  in  $\Gamma \implies f(x) \sim f(y)$  in  $\Gamma'$ . A covering map of grapts is a homomorphism  $(x, y \in V(\Gamma))$  inducing a bijection on the neighbours of each vertex of  $\Gamma$   $\{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)$  ) (and the preimage of the neighbours of each vertex  $y \in \Gamma'$  are copies of the neighbours of y.) Eq. a cover of  $X = \bigwedge_{f \in I} f(r)$  is the t-shelpton of the map  $Y = \bigwedge_{f \in I} f(r) f(r)$  f(r) = f(r) = f(r) is the t-shelpton of the map  $Y = \bigwedge_{f \in I} f(r) = f(r)$  f(r) = f(r) = f(r)2 2 - 2 3',3" · 🛏 3 4',4" ---->4

When are two covers of X equivalent (isomorphic, i.e. essentially the same) ? Let  $p: X_1 \to X_1$ ,  $p: X_2 \to X$  be covering spaces of  $X_1$ . We say  $\theta: X_1 \to X_2$  is an equivalence or isomorphism of the two covers if  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism and  $p_2 \cdot \theta = p_1$ , i.e.  $X_1 \to X_2$ . Pit KP2 But what about 2' 3' 4' W= 3' 4' valant to 4" 2" Wey X not equivalent Is this equivalent to 2→ X? No... 3',3" → 3 Another picture of these coreas 4' 4' F 7 4 

To construct an refold cover of X, created one copy of [r] = {1,2,...,r} for each vertex of X. Then for each edge of X, match up the corresponding fibres in the cover using a chosen permitation. A triple cover Y->X is constructed as  $\sum$ Why is 2 more special than other positive integers (the addest prime of ell)? Consider X = 000 has many tiple covers including Y1 = 000 a The covering maps Y->X and Y2->X are not equivalent. Y2= 12 An equivalence between Y->X and itself (antomorphism of the cover) 16 is a deck transformation. This is the same as a homeomorphism Y->Y which preserves fibes. In the example above Y-> X has 3 actomorphisms (deck transformations) But Y, -> X has only one "Utrivial) deck transformation In a conveited roll cover, there are at most r deck transformations. If equality holds, the covering space is normal or Galois. (not the same as normal space in point set topology). Double covers are diverge normal.  $V_{3} = Q_{4} O^{4} O^{4} O^{4}$ 

In group theory, subgroups of index 2 are normal. (separable) 0 PM 10 a taging more all
In the case of extensions of fields, the excension is norman.
For a field extension E2F, the degree of the extension is [ ]
a vector space over F. The number of F-automorphisms of E (i. F: E) E automorphism fixing
a vector space over F. the number of F-actioned pursons of $\sigma(a)=q$ for all $q \in F$ ) is at most [E:F]. If this number is equal, it's a normal or Galoris extension. Extensions of degree 2 (quadratic extensions) are always normal.
2 2 to-1 A dode calcular graph -> Petersen DEAB real proj. plane
Domble covers : examples
BUDD
S' is not a top, group unless ne \$1,33.
$S' = S \ge C :  z  = 1$
$S = \{z \in H :  z  = 1\}$ $H = \{a \neq bi \neq cj \neq dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$
$\cong$ SU <sub>2</sub> (C) = {A=[ $\overset{\alpha}{\gamma}$ $\overset{\beta}{\beta}$ ] : $\alpha_{,\beta}, \gamma_{,} S \in C$ , $AA^{*} = A^{*}A = I$ , $det A = I$ ?
$SO_3(RR) = \{A \in R^{3\times3} : AA^T = A^T A = I, det A = I\}$
$Q_3(IR) = SA \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$ : $AA^T = A^TA = I $ has two connected components $Z(S^3) = 9 \pm I $ homeomorphis
$Q_3(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{4} \in \mathbb{R}$ : $AA = AA = I$ has two contracts $Z(S^3) = \frac{1}{2}I$ homeomorphis Fact: $S^3 = SU_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ is a double cover. $Z(S^3) = \frac{1}{2}I(S^3) \cong SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong P^3\mathbb{R}$ .
$[\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}] = [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}] = [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

63 → SOZ(R) In general for 173, T, (SO, (R)) = 2/22 Simply connected a double cover Spin (R) -> SOn (R) is ite universal cover; constructed from Clifford Algebras (generalizing H)