

A fitter on X is a collection Fr consisting of subsets of X such that
• $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{F}, X \in \mathfrak{F}$
• IF AEF and AEBSK, then BEF.
• IF $A, A' \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \cap A' \in \mathcal{F}$ .
Every uttratitler is a filter, but not conversely.
A collection Sof subsets of X has the finite intersection property (fip.) it
As all $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{O}$ $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n \neq \mathcal{O}$
A Litter has the fine. If S is any collection of subsets of X having fine. then S generates a
tiller: Fr = i supersets of finite intersections of sets in Sq
= { B S X : A, N A, N N A, S For some A, A,, A, E S }.
This is the (anique) smallest collection of enlocits of X which contains I' and is a titles.
If J. J' are filters on X, we say I' refines I if JGJ'.
If F. F.' are fitters on X, we say F' refines F if FGF. The collection of all fitters on X is partially ordered by refinement.
Given a filter F on X, the collection of filters refining F. has a maximal member by Forn's Lemma. This is guaranteed to be an uttrafilter.
Assume we are given a nonprincipal uttrafiter & on $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 3\}$ . Construction of the nonstandard real numbers (hyperreals) *R or R* or R.
IR and IR are examples of ordered fields. IR and IR are very similar from first appearances.
eg. If $f(x) \in R[x]$ or $R[x]$ (polynomial in x) of degree 3 then f has a root (in R or $R'$ respectively). If $f' > 0$ then this root is unique. Positive dements have a unique square root.

But: R is an Archimedean field: it has no infinite or infinitesmal elements. More precisely, if
a E R satisfies $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n = (2, 3, 4, then q = 0.$
a E R satisfies $0 \le a < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n = (2, 3, 4, then q = 0.R has infinitedal elements (it is Non-Archimedia field).$
Construction: Start with $\mathbb{R}^{\omega} = \{(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots) : q \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (all sequences of real numbers).
<b>.</b> <sup>.</sup>
Given a, b & R we can add/audtiply/subtract pointwise
$a_{\pm}b = (a_{\pm}b_{1}, a_{\pm}b_{1}, a_{\pm}b_{2}, \cdots)$
$ab = (a, bo, a, b, a_2 b_2,)$
ab = (abo, ab, ab, ab,) making R <sup>av</sup> into a ring with identify 1 = (1,1,1,1,). It's not a field; it has zero divisors e.g.
$(i_{0},i_{0},j_{0},\cdots)(o_{1},i_{0},i_{1},\cdots)=(o_{1},o_{2},o_{2},o_{2},o_{2},\cdots)=O \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{W}}.$
But take an uttrafilter U on w (U nonprincipal).
If $a_i = b_i$ for all $i \in U \in U$ then $a_i \sim b_i$ (equivelence mod U).
$ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{k}} &  \text{this case}  (0, 1, 0, 1, 0,) \sim (1, 1, 1, 1, 1,) &= 1 \\ (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0,) \sim (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned} $
Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ , let $A = \{i \in W : a, =b;\}$ . Either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ (in which case $a \sim b$ ) or $w \land A \in \mathcal{U}$ (in which
case $a \neq b$ . $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^{\omega} / \alpha = \{ [a]_{\omega} : a \in \mathbf{R}^{\omega} \}, [a]_{\omega} = equir. class of a = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^{\omega} : x \sim a \}.$
It is a field. If $a \neq 0$ then actually $a \neq 0$ ([a] $\neq$ [0], ) so $i \in \omega : a \neq 0$ ? $\in \mathcal{U}$ . (anost coordinates
of a even nonzero). Then $\frac{1}{a} = (\frac{1}{a}; i \in \omega)$
q. = 1 A any where that a:=0, ignore or replace by 1.

R is an ordered field. Given a, b e R, either a <b a="&lt;/th" or=""><th>6 or 6&lt;9.</th></b>	6 or 6<9.
$\omega = \{i \in \omega : q_i < b_i\} \sqcup \{i \in \omega : q_i = b_i\} \sqcup \{i \in \omega : b_i < q_i\}$	
Exactly one of these three sets is an utra-fitter set. Corresponding	
$Q \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{=} Given a \subset \mathbb{R}$ , identify with $(a, a, q, q,) \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . The standard	tis way R is embedded in R <sup>w</sup> .
standard The topology on $I\hat{R}$ is the order topology: basic open sets $q_ib \in I\hat{R}$ .	s are open intervals (9,6),
Eq. $\varepsilon = \int (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots) \int_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ is an infinitesual.	$ Q  = \frac{1}{2},  R  = 2^{\frac{1}{2}},  R  = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Eq. $z = [(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)]_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ is an infratesmal: $\frac{1}{2} = [(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)]_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ is infrate.	
Every hyperreal is either infinite (at $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , $ a  > a$ for every positive integer a unique standard part $st(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (the closest real number to a).	~) or it's bormded : a which case a hea
To compute $f'(x)$ where $f(x) = x^2 + 3x + 7$ using constandard analysis, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and Pick $\hat{a} \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , $st(\hat{a}) = a$ , $\hat{a} - a = \varepsilon$ is an infiniteernal. $f(\hat{a}) - f(\hat{a}) = f(a+\varepsilon) - f(a+\varepsilon)$	
$\frac{f(a+\epsilon) - f(a)}{\epsilon} = 2a + 3 + \epsilon ,  sf(2a+3) = 2a = f'(a).$	

Warm-up to the proof of Tychonoff's Theorem. Let S be a collection of subsets of X. S has the finite intersection property (f.i.p.) if every finite intersection
Let S be a collection of subsets of X. a has the time investing control property could be a
of sets in S is nonempty i.e.
$S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \in S \implies \exists \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_n \neq \emptyset.$
(Recall: if S has f.i.p. then supersets of finite intersections of sets in S is a fifter.)
Learna 1.1 Let X be a top. space. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) X is compact. ( Every open cover of X has a finite subrover.) E via complementation ( use de Morganistas
(ii) If S is any collection of closed sets with fip. then (S = Ø.
Proof: exercise fitter such that for every ASX, either AEU or X-AEU, not both.
An uttrafilter U on X converges to a point x e X if every while of x is in U. (A nobel is a superset of use write U x x in this case. (Rocall: The nobeds of x form a filter.) an open nobed.)
An uttrafilter U on X converges to a point x e X if every would of x is in U. (A nobed is a superset of We write U & x in this case. (Recell: The nobeds of x form a filter.) an open would.)
Much topology is readily formeliated in the language of ultrafilters e.g.
· X is Hausdorff iff every ultrafitter converges to at most one point.
. It is compact the every ultratilles converges to at least one point.
<ul> <li>X is Hausdorff iff every ultrafilter converges to at most one point.</li> <li>X is compact iff every ultrafilter converges to at least one point.</li> <li>A function f: X -&gt; Y is continuous iff it maps convergent ultrafilters to convergent ultrafilters.</li> </ul>
. A function f: X -> Y is continuous the it maps convergent waretrillers to convergent minutillers. <u>Theorem</u> 2.1(a) Let X be a top. space. Then X is Housdorff tiff every ultrafiller on X converges to at most one point of X.
at most one point of X.
Proof (=>) Suppose X is Hausdorff. Suppose U is an utratitier on X converging to two different
Proof (=>) Suppose X is Hausdorff. Suppose U is an uttrafilter on X converging to two different points X = y in X. There exist U, V S X disjoint open sets with x e U, y e V. U(-)
Since USX, UE U. Similarly VEU. Then UNV = DE U. contradiction. (=> Suppose every ultrafitter on X converges to at most one point of X.
(=) Suppose every ultratitler on X <sup>D</sup> converges to at most one point of X.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose every uttratitler on X converges to at most one point of X. Let  $\pi \pm q$  in X. By way of contradiction suppose that  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every open ublid to  $f_X$  and every open ublid V of y. Then Eopen ublids of r? U Sopen ublide of y? has fine. This generates a fitter which in turn retries to an uttrafille U. UNR, UNY a contradiction. So X must be Hausdonff. Theorem 2.16) Let X be a top. space. Then X is compact if every ultrafilter on X converges to at least one point of X. Proof  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose X is compact. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on X. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  does not converge to any point of X. So for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open while  $\mathcal{U}_x$  of x such that  $\mathcal{U}_x \notin \mathcal{U}$ . So  $\{\mathcal{U}_x : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of X. So there is a finite subcover  $\chi = \mathcal{U}_{\chi_{1}} \cup \mathcal{U}_{\chi_{2}} \cup \mathcal{U}_{\chi_{2}} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_{\chi_{n}}$  for some  $n \ge 1$ ;  $\chi_{1}, \dots, \chi_{n} \in \chi$ . So Uri e U for some i, contradiction. (⇐) Suppose every uttrafitter on X converges to at least one point of X. We must show that X is compact. Let S be a collection of closed subsets of X with F.i.p.; we must show ()S≠Ø. Now S generates a fitter which refines to an ultra fitter U2S. By assumption, US x for some point x ∈ X. We will show x ∈ ∩S. If not, then there exists K ∈ S such that x & K. Then X-K is an open nord of x. So X-K & U. But also K & S < U. contradiction. []

Ultrafilters gives the following characterization of open sets.
Theorem 2.2 Let X be a top. space, and let USX. The following are quindlant:
Lis U is open . U lits a wante to a mit of 11 we have 11 f 91.
cii) Whenever an attrafitter converges to a point rell, we have UEU.
Proof (=>) Trivial. Suppose U is open. Suppose also & is an uttratiller converging to a point REU.
Then UNXEL So LEU. IF ust the thoug is some xEL
Then UNXEU so UEU. Then UNXEU so UEU. (=) Suppose (ii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (ii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. We must prove U is open. If not, then there is some XEU (=) Suppose (iii) holds. Some XEU (=) Suppose (iiii) holds. Some XEU (=) Suppose (iiii
Such that over open that is a first of the f
Eopen ublids of x 3 U { X-U } has fire. Att Busice 1/691
It generates a fitter which votimes to an ultratitter U & x \in U, By cing UEU.
Also $X - U \in \mathcal{U}_{i}$ contradiction. $\Box$
Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Given an ultrefitter $\mathcal{U}$ on $X$ , $f$ pushes $\mathcal{U}$ torward to an ultrafilter $f, \mathcal{U}$ on $Y$ . This works just like for measures. If $\mu$ was a measure on $X$ then for each measurable subset $A \subseteq X$ , $\mu(A) \in [0, \infty]$ . We'll be interested in probability measures so $\mu(A) \in [0, 1]$ , $\mu(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ , $\mu(X) = 1$ , $\mu(A \sqcup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ . "Measure" usually require somitable additivity (strongen than finite additivity) so when its only finitely additive we call $\mu$ a finitely additive measure. $\mathcal{U}$ trafitters can be viewed as finitely additive measures. But $\mu(A) = S'$ if $A \in \mathcal{U}$ .
This works just like for measures. If it was a measure on X then to each measurable subset A C X w(A) & [0 07] Wait ha interested in probability measures as w(A) & [0 17] w(O)=0.
u(K)= ( u(AUB) = u(A) + u(B). "Measure" usually require commable additivity (stronger
than finite additivity) so when its only finitely additive we call us a finitely additive measure.
Ultrafilters can be viewed as finitely addrive measures. But $\mu(A) = {o if A \in U}$ .
In general, measures on X give rise to measures on Y: For every BSY, M(B) = M(f(B)), Check: My is a measure on Y; it's the push-formand of M via f.

Special case: Let f: X - Y U uttra filter on X. Then the pushtorward of U
via $f$ is $f \mathcal{U} = \{ V \subseteq Y : f'(Y) \in \mathcal{U} \}$ Check: this is an after filter on Y.
via $f$ is $f \mathcal{U} = \{ V \subseteq Y : f'(V) \in \mathcal{U} \}$ . Check: this is an after filter on Y. Theorem 3.2 Let X and Y be top. spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the following are
equivalent:
is f is continuous
(ii) of maps convergent utratillers to convergent utratillers; more precisely " a site a
Proof (=>) Suppose f is continuous, and let q be an ultre filter on X such that q & reX. We must show that f q & f(x) & Y. Given an open nobid V of f(x) in Y, we must show that V & f q , i.e. show f (V) & q. Since f is continuous, f'(V) is an open when a f is continuous, f'(V) & q.
We must show that fight of f(x) EY. Given an open hold V of t(x) in I, we must
show that VE f. U, i.e. show F(V)EU. Xue + is communer, T(V) is an open
which of $\pi$ , so $f(Y) \in \mathcal{U}$ .
(*) Suppose (ii). We must show to is continuous. Let V L & be open; we must show to is continuous.
when $\phi(x)$ , so $f(Y) \in U$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose (ii). We must show $f$ is continuous. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be open; we must show that $f'(Y)$ is open in $X$ . Let $x \in f'(Y)$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafiller converging to $r$ : that $f'(Y)$ is open in $X$ . Let $x \in f'(Y)$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafiller converging to $r$ : $f(Y) = f(Y)$ is open in $X$ . Let $x \in f'(Y)$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafiller converging to $r$ :
al Plu Ry assumption (1) T(k) V T(k) CV
Nohd of $f(x)$ in $V$ , $V \in f_{4}(\mathcal{U})$ i.e. $f'(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ . By Thum 2.2, $f'(V)$ is open. $\square$
Theorem 4.2 Let I be an ulfratiller on X= ITX, and let x= (x & & & X. Then U & x iff
$(\pi_{\alpha})_{4} \mathcal{U} \to \pi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha$ . $(\pi_{\alpha} : X \to X_{\alpha})$ .
Proof (=) Suppose U & x = (xa), EX. Since The is continuous, (Tha), U & Xa by Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on $X = TT X_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and let $x = (x_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{U}} \in X$ . Then $\mathcal{U} = (x_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{U}} \in X$ . Then $\mathcal{U} = (x_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{U}} \in X$ . Then $\mathcal{U} = (x_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{U}} \in X$ .	h re	ff i
$(\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \pi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha.  (\pi_{\alpha}: X \to X_{\alpha}).$ $\underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{X \to \pi_{\alpha}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Suppose} (\pi_{\alpha})_{4}\mathcal{U} \ \forall \ \chi_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}  \text{for all } \alpha  \text{and}  (ext  \chi^{\perp})_{\alpha} \in X.  We  uurst \\ \underbrace{\operatorname{Proof}}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathcal{U}} ( = )  $	Show	
Without loss of generality, U is a sublessic open set of the form $U = \pi_{\alpha}^{r}(U_{\alpha}) = (TT X_{\beta}) \times U_{\alpha}$ .	· · ·	· · ·
This follows for Them 3.2 "because to is continuous. I Theorem 5.1 (Tychonoff) If each X. is compact then so is X=TIX. I we must sho	w th	at i
Proof Let $A_{k}$ be compact. Let $f$ be point of $X$ . But $(T_{k})_{*}\mathcal{Y} \to X_{k} \in X_{k}$ for some point $\mathcal{Y}_{k}$ converges to at least one point of $X$ . But $(T_{k})_{*}\mathcal{Y} \to X_{k} \in X_{k}$ for some point $\mathcal{Y}_{k}$ is compact. Let $x = (x_{k})_{k}$ and show $\mathcal{Y} \to X$ . This follows from Theorem	7.2.	>19CC
Typical application:	• • •	• •

Let V be a normed vector space e.g. (R[[0,1]) or los= { bounded sequences at R <sup>w</sup> }, ie. II. II: V -> R satisfies
ie, III: V -> R satisfies
is   v  zo, and equality holds iff r=0;
·····································
$(m) \  cv \  = \  c \  \  v \ $ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , $v \in V$ .
d(v, w) = 1/v-w/r. A bounded linear functional on V is a map f: V-> R such that
• f(au+bv) = af(u)+bf(v) for all q, be R; y, veR
• there exists (∈ R such that 1 f(v)) ≤ C   v   for all v ∈ V.
V* = > bounded linear functionals on V 3 is a normed vector space (but larger than V)
$V^* = \{ \text{ bounded (inser functionals on V} \}$ is a normed vector space (but larger than V) for $f \in V^*$ , $\ f\  = \sup \{  f(v)  : v \in B \}$ , $B = \min t \text{ ball in } V = \{ v \in V : \ v\  \le 1 \}$ .
$d(f,g) = \ f-g\ $
$B^* = \{ f \in V^* : \  f\  \le i \} = \{ f \in V^* : (f(v)) \le \ v\  \text{ for } v \in V \}$
We can regard $B^{k} \subseteq [-1, 1]^{B} = \{ functions B \rightarrow [-1, 1] \}$ (B* consists of all functions $B \rightarrow [-1, 1]$
$B^{*} = \{f \in V^{*} : \ f\  \le i\} = \{f \in V^{*} : (f(v)) \le \ v\  \text{ for } v \in V\}$ We can regard $B^{*} \subseteq [-1, 1]^{*} = \{f_{inc}(tions B \to f_{i}, 1]\} (B^{*} contrists of all functions B \to f_{i}, i]$ which extend to a linear function on V). $B^{*} = uot compact in the   \cdot   topology. (unless dim V = dim V^{*} < \infty)$
B* is not compact in the II. I topology (mless dim V = aim V = a)
Fg. $V = loo$ , $B = \frac{2}{(q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots)}$ : $q \in R$ , $ q_1  \leq  \frac{3}{2}$ is corrected by open halls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ but no finite number of these cover B. The point set $\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, $
no finite number of these cover B. The point set {(±2, ±2, ±2, ±2,)} B
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The product topology in  $[-1, 1]^{5}$  is really the topology of "pointwise convergence" which is weaken than the norm topology i.e. if  $f, f_1, f_2, F_3, \dots \in V^*$ then saying for the norm topology ( If - fil - o as no = 0) is a much stronger statement than saying f -> f pointwise (for all ve V, f. (V) -> f. (v) as n -> 0) which is weaker. In this topology, Bt is embedded as a closed (topological) subspace of [-1,1]<sup>B</sup>, hence Bt is compact. Given a top. Space X, we would like to embed X in a "nice" space that we think we understand. An embedding of X in Y is an injection  $\iota: X \to Y$  such that the image  $\iota(X) \subseteq Y$  is homeomorphic to X via  $\iota$ . ( $\iota$  is continuous and  $i'|_{\iota(X)} : \iota(X) \to X$  is continuous. In this case X is identified as a subspace of Y. as a danse subspace Eq. a completion of a metric space (X, d) is an embedding of (X,d) lin a complete métric space (Y, d'). If moreover i preserves distances i.e.  $d'(\iota(x), \iota(x')) = d(x, x')$ for all x, x' \in X) then i is an isometric embedding. 

We regard Q ~ R as "the" completion of R : it is unique up to equivalence. 9179 We'll use: IP &: X -> Y is a continuous map, and if Y is Hausdorff, then f is determined by its values on a dense subset of X. (SS X is dense if every nonempty open subset of X meets S). This says: if f,g: X->Y are continuous and they agree on a dense subset SSX, then f=g. Proof Suppose  $f \neq q$ , i.e. there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) \neq g(x)$  in Y. Then (f(x)) ( $\hat{Q}(x)$ ) Y there exist open ublids  $f(x) \in U$ ,  $g(x) \in V$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . (f(x)) ( $\hat{Q}(x)$ ) Y then  $\hat{f}'(U)$ ,  $\hat{g}'(V)$  are open ublids of  $\pi \in X$ . So there exists  $s \in S \cap f(U) \cap g(V)$ , so  $f(s) = g(s) \in U \cap V$ , contradiction. Go to the atlegory of real vector spaces (objects are real vector spaces; arrows (morphisms) are linear transformations). Given: U.V. vector spaces; SCU any set of vectors. mie Denver is a "miversal hub" for most LAR -> DEN Slights out of Laramie. Laranje for every T: U->V You are booking for a linear transformation vanishing on S, there is T: U->V vanishing on S (Tr=0 for all a unique T: U/S) ->V U T+ U/S) (S) = subspace spanned making this diagram amounte U++ (S) = subspace spanned i.e. T= ToT. LGA New York

The quotient U/{s} and in fact the map TI: U -> U/(s) is the migne such morphism making the above universal property hold. (up to equivalence). If TI: U -> U' coto had this universal property i.e. for every T: U-> V varishing on S, there is a unique T making the diagram U - V' ie Tor'= T then  $U' \cong U/(S)$  and VBy uniqueness for the gof = id: 4/55 -> 4/(5)  $fo \pi = \pi'$ Also fog= id: U'->U' 90 T = T  $u \xrightarrow{\pi} u_{(S)}$ T > W(s) gof o T = T TT U' g id ido T U & ()f This is what we mean when we say U -> 1/kg) is "the" universal domain for maps on U vanishing on S. (Existence requires a construction; uniqueness follows from the universal property.)

Eq. in the category of groups ... objects are groups, arrows (morphisms) are group homomorphisms. Every group 6 comes with an "abelianization" \${6,6], adrally T: G ~ G/[GG] (the canonical homorphism) which makes this into the universal domain for morphisms G-> A (A abelian). I.e. given any f: 6 > A (A abelian) there exists a migule f: 6/16,67 > A making the diagram G T Strange Commute i.e. for = f. In the category Top who seebjects are top. 9 paters and arrows (underphisms) are A (continuous) X is complete Eq. Let X be a metric space. Then a completion of X is a map  $\iota: X \longrightarrow \widehat{X}$  space such that for every  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  there is a unique  $\widehat{f}$  making this Theorem Every metric space has a completion and it is essentially unique. diagram commute: X - 1 > X 2 V チェキ・レ、 It requires moreover that the an embedding. Moreover (X) < X must be dense.

Eq. X = (Q, usual metric) has X = R as its completion. Eg. Compactification Given a top. space X, we want to define a kind of universal compactification of X, 1: X -> pX which is Compact Hausdorff and which is the universal object having this property ie. For every f: X -> Y where Y is ompact Hausdorff, there exists f: pX-> Y making the following commute:  $\chi \longrightarrow \beta \chi$ ie. for= f A a a a a a g Theorem X has such a universal compactification 1: X -> BX Eff X is completely regular and theusdorff. In this case 1: X -> FX is unique and it's an embedding of X in BX as a dance subspace.

Compare: the one-point compactification XU [00] of a space X (where or of X) is a compact space containing X as a subspace i.e. X ~ XV 900}, X ~ x is an embedding, constructed as follows: In the new subset X C XV 900}, basic open noblds of x E X are same as in the original space X. Basic open ublids of ∞ are the complements of the compact subsets of X. compact subsets of X. Theorem Given X which is Housdorff and not compact, the construction above gives a compact extension  $X \cup \{\infty\}$  iff X is locally compact is. every point of X has a compact which (i.e. every  $x \in X$  has an open whild contained in a compact subset of X). It's easy to see that local compact wass of X is necessary; here we're saying it's also sufficient. Eq. R has a one-point compactification R<sup>2</sup>U goog ~ S<sup>2</sup> (the 2-sphere).  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to S^2$  embedding Stereographic projection for n71, the one-point compactification of R" is S" is the one point compactification.  $\mathbb{R} \simeq -$ 

Compare: The miveosal (Stone-Čech) compactification of	$\mathbb{R} \cong (0,1)$ is $[0,1]$ .
$(o, i) \qquad $	
The one point compactification lacks the universal property	•
$\sim \longrightarrow \qquad S'$	
f i f does not exist.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי
$f$ $f$ exists $\rho \to \rho$ $\beta(\rho,i) =$	

What is the store Ceck compactification of R <sup>2</sup> ? R <sup>2</sup> a open disk D= S(x,y) eR <sup>2</sup> : (universal)
Some different ways to compactify R <sup>2</sup> : one-point compactification R <sup>2</sup> -> R <sup>2</sup> U \$003 ~ S <sup>2</sup> interval differentiation R <sup>2</sup> -> R <sup>2</sup> U \$003 ~ S <sup>2</sup>
• one-point compactive plane ( $\sim D \lor \partial D$ where we identify antipodal points • $P^2R = real projective plane (\sim D \lor \partial D where we identify antipodal pointson \partial D \approx S')$
• $\bar{D} = closed$ disk. This is $\beta R^2 - \beta D$ .
The Stone-Čech compactification theorem is proved in 2 parts: is special case X is discrete; Note: Discrete Space are completely regular
cii) general case.
(n) general use. (a) general use. (ii) general use. (ii) Gave cis is not privial! But it is the key to (ii). From (i), we get (ii) by taking a quotient. To prove cis, (b) taking a quotient. To prove cis, βX = ξ all uttrafillers on X ξ. = Store Čech compactification of X
$X \xrightarrow{l} \beta X$ Given $x \in X$ , $l(x) = \mathfrak{F}_{x} \in \beta X$ $\mathfrak{F}_{x} = \{A \subseteq X : x \in X\}$ . discrete top. space $i \in i$
$\sim$