

# Point Set Topology

Book 3

A filter on  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of subsets of  $X$  such that

- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}, X \in \mathcal{F}$
- If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $A, A' \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A \cap A' \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Every ultrafilter is a filter, but not conversely.

A collection  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of  $X$  has the finite intersection property (f.i.p.) if for all  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ .

A filter has the f.i.p. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is any collection of subsets of  $X$  having f.i.p. then  $\mathcal{S}$  generates a filter:  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} = \{ \text{supersets of finite intersections of sets in } \mathcal{S} \}$

$$= \{ B \subseteq X : A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n \subseteq B \text{ for some } A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

This is the (unique) smallest collection of subsets of  $X$  which contains  $\mathcal{S}$  and is a filter.

If  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$  are filters on  $X$ , we say  $\mathcal{F}'$  refines  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ .

The collection of all filters on  $X$  is partially ordered by refinement.

Given a filter  $\mathcal{F}_0$  on  $X$ , the collection of filters refining  $\mathcal{F}_0$  has a maximal member by Zorn's Lemma. This is guaranteed to be an ultrafilter.

Assume we are given a nonprincipal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

Construction of the nonstandard real numbers (hyperreals)  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^*$  or  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ .

$\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are examples of ordered fields.  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are very similar from first appearances.

eg. If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  or  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}[x]$  (polynomial in  $x$ ) of degree  $\geq 3$  then  $f$  has a root (in  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively).

If  $f' > 0$  then this root is unique. Positive elements have a unique square root.

But:  $\mathbb{R}$  is an Archimedean field: it has no infinite or infinitesimal elements. More precisely, if  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $0 \leq a < \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n=1,2,3,4,\dots$  then  $a=0$ .

$\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  has infinitesimal elements (it is Non-Archimedean field).

Construction: Start with  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega} = \{ (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) : a_i \in \mathbb{R} \}$  (all sequences of real numbers).

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  we can add/multiply/subtract pointwise

$$a \pm b = (a_0 \pm b_0, a_1 \pm b_1, a_2 \pm b_2, \dots)$$

$$ab = (a_0 b_0, a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots)$$

making  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  into a <sup>commutative</sup> ring with identity  $1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$ . It's not a field; it has zero divisors e.g.

$$(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}.$$

But take an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\omega$  ( $\mathcal{U}$  nonprincipal).

If  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i \in U \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $a_i \sim b_i$  (equivalence mod  $\mathcal{U}$ ).

In this case  $(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots) \sim (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots) = 1$

$$(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = 0$$

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ , let  $A = \{i \in \omega : a_i = b_i\}$ . Either  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  (in which case  $a \sim b$ ) or  $\omega - A \in \mathcal{U}$  (in which case  $a \not\sim b$ ).  $\hat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{\omega} / \sim = \{ [a]_{\sim} : a \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \}$ ,  $[a]_{\sim} =$  equiv. class of  $a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega} : x \sim a\}$ .

$\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is a field. If  $a \neq 0$  then actually  $a_i \neq 0$  ( $[a]_{\sim} \neq [0]_{\sim}$ ) so  $\{i \in \omega : a_i \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . (most coordinates of  $a$  are nonzero). Then  $\frac{1}{a} = (\frac{1}{a_i} : i \in \omega)$

Anywhere that  $a_i = 0$ , ignore or replace by 1.

$$a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 1$$

$\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is an ordered field. Given  $a, b \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , either  $a < b$  or  $a = b$  or  $b < a$ .

$$\omega = \{i \in \omega : a_i < b_i\} \sqcup \{i \in \omega : a_i = b_i\} \sqcup \{i \in \omega : b_i < a_i\}$$

Exactly one of these three sets is an ultrafilter set. Correspondingly,  $a < b$  or  $a = b$  or  $b < a$ .

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^\omega$$

Given  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , identify with  $(a, a, a, a, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$ . This way  $\mathbb{R}$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ .

The <sup>standard</sup> topology on  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is the order topology: basic open sets are open intervals  $(a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Eg.  $\varepsilon = [(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots)]_\omega \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is an infinitesimal.

$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = [(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)]_\omega \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is infinite.

$$|\mathbb{Q}| = \aleph_0, |\mathbb{R}| = 2^{\aleph_0}, |\hat{\mathbb{R}}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \hat{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$|\mathbb{R}^\omega| = |\mathbb{R}|^{|\omega|} = (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

Every hyperreal is either infinite ( $a \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $|a| > n$  for every positive integer  $n$ ) or it's bounded in which case  $a$  has a unique standard part  $st(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  (the closest real number to  $a$ ).

To compute  $f'(x)$  where  $f(x) = x^2 + 3x + 7$  using nonstandard analysis, let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we want to compute  $f'(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Pick  $\hat{a} \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $st(\hat{a}) = a$ ,  $\hat{a} - a = \varepsilon$  is an infinitesimal.  $f(\hat{a}) - f(a) = f(a + \varepsilon) - f(a) = (a + \varepsilon)^2 + 3(a + \varepsilon) + 7 - (a^2 + 3a + 7) = 2\varepsilon a + \varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon$

$$\frac{f(a + \varepsilon) - f(a)}{\varepsilon} = 2a + 3 + \varepsilon, \quad st(2a + 3) = 2a = f'(a).$$

Warm-up to the proof of Tychonoff's Theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  has the finite intersection property (f.i.p.) if every finite intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonempty i.e.

$$S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_n \neq \emptyset.$$

(Recall: if  $\mathcal{S}$  has f.i.p. then supersets of finite intersections of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a filter.)

Lemma 1.1 Let  $X$  be a top. space. Then the following are equivalent.

(i)  $X$  is compact. (Every open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.)

(ii) If  $\mathcal{S}$  is any collection of closed sets with f.i.p. then  $\bigcap \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ .

← via complementation (use de Morgan's laws)

←

Proof: exercise.

← filter such that for every  $A \subseteq X$ , either  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{U}$ , not both.

An ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  if every <sup>(open)</sup> nbhd of  $x$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

(A nbhd is a superset of an open nbhd.)

We write  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x$  in this case. (Recall: The nbhds of  $x$  form a filter.)

Much topology is readily formulated in the language of ultrafilters e.g.

- $X$  is Hausdorff iff every ultrafilter converges to at most one point.
- $X$  is compact iff every ultrafilter converges to at least one point.
- A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous iff it maps convergent ultrafilters to convergent ultrafilters.

Theorem 2.1(a) Let  $X$  be a top. space. Then  $X$  is Hausdorff iff every ultrafilter on  $X$  converges to at most one point of  $X$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $X$  is Hausdorff. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to two different points  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . There exist  $U, V \subseteq X$  disjoint open sets with  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ .  $U \circledast x$   $V \circledast y$

Since  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Similarly  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $U \cap V = \emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$ , contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose every ultrafilter on  $X$  converges to at most one point of  $X$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose every ultrafilter on  $X$  converges to at most one point of  $X$ . Let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every open nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  and every open nbhd  $V$  of  $y$ . Then

$\{\text{open nbhds of } x\} \cup \{\text{open nbhds of } y\}$  has f.i.p.

This generates a filter which in turn refines to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \ni y$ , a contradiction. So  $X$  must be Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.1 (b) Let  $X$  be a top. space. Then  $X$  is compact  $\iff$  every ultrafilter on  $X$  converges to at least one point of  $X$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $X$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  does not converge to any point of  $X$ . So for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open nbhd  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x \notin \mathcal{U}$ . So  $\{U_x : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . So there is a finite subcover

$$X = U_{x_1} \cup U_{x_2} \cup U_{x_3} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n} \quad \text{for some } n \geq 1; x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

So  $U_{x_i} \in \mathcal{U}$  for some  $i$ , contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose every ultrafilter on  $X$  converges to at least one point of  $X$ . We must show that  $X$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a collection of closed subsets of  $X$  with f.i.p.; we must show  $\bigcap \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ .

Now  $\mathcal{S}$  generates a filter which refines to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$  for some point  $x \in X$ . We will show  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{S}$ . If not, then there exists  $K \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $x \notin K$ . Then  $X - K$  is an open nbhd of  $x$ . So  $X - K \in \mathcal{U}$ . But also  $K \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , contradiction.  $\square$

Ultrafilters gives the following characterization of open sets.

Theorem 2.2 Let  $X$  be a top. space, and let  $U \subseteq X$ . The following are equivalent:



(i)  $U$  is open.

(ii) Whenever an ultrafilter converges to a point  $x \in U$ , we have  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Trivial. Suppose  $U$  is open. Suppose also  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter converging to a point  $x \in U$ .

Then  $U \ni x \in U$  so  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose (ii) holds. We must prove  $U$  is open. If not, then there is some  $x \in U$  such that every open nbhd of  $x$  meets  $X - U$  (i.e. has points outside  $U$ ). The collection

$\{\text{open nbhds of } x\} \cup \{X - U\}$  has f.i.p.

It generates a filter which refines to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \ni x \in U$ . By (ii),  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Also  $X - U \in \mathcal{U}$ , contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Given an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$ ,  $f$  pushes  $\mathcal{U}$  forward to an ultrafilter  $f_*\mathcal{U}$  on  $Y$ . This works just like for measures. If  $\mu$  was a measure on  $X$  then for each measurable subset  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\mu(A) \in [0, \infty]$ . We'll be interested in probability measures so  $\mu(A) \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu(X) = 1$ ,  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ . "Measure" usually require countable additivity (stronger than finite additivity) so when it's only finitely additive we call  $\mu$  a finitely additive measure. Ultrafilters can be viewed as finitely additive measures. But  $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{U} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \notin \mathcal{U} \end{cases}$

In general, measures on  $X$  give rise to measures on  $Y$ : For every  $B \subseteq Y$ ,  $\mu_*(B) = \mu(f^{-1}(B))$ .

Check:  $\mu_*$  is a measure on  $Y$ ; it's the push-forward of  $\mu$  via  $f$ .

Special case: Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  ultrafilter on  $X$ . Then the pushforward of  $\mathcal{U}$  via  $f$  is  $f_*\mathcal{U} = \{V \subseteq Y: f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Check: this is an ultrafilter on  $Y$ .

Theorem 3.2 Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be top. spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $f$  is continuous.

(ii)  $f$  maps convergent ultrafilters to convergent ultrafilters; more precisely if  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x \in X$  ( $\mathcal{U}$  ultrafilter in  $X$ ) then  $f_*\mathcal{U} \searrow f(x) \in Y$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f$  is continuous, and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x \in X$ . We must show that  $f_*\mathcal{U} \searrow f(x) \in Y$ . Given an open nbhd  $V$  of  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ , we must show that  $V \in f_*\mathcal{U}$ , i.e. show  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an open nbhd of  $x$ , so  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose (ii). We must show  $f$  is continuous. Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be open; we must show that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter converging to  $x$ :  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . By assumption (ii),  $f_*\mathcal{U} \searrow f(x) \in V$ . Since  $V$  is an open nbhd of  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ ,  $V \in f_*\mathcal{U}$  i.e.  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ . By Thm 2.2,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open.  $\square$

Theorem 4.2 Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $X = \prod X_\alpha$ , and let  $x = (x_\alpha)_\alpha \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x$  iff  $(\pi_\alpha)_*\mathcal{U} \searrow x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . ( $\pi_\alpha: X \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x_\alpha} X_\alpha$ ).

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\mathcal{U} \searrow x = (x_\alpha)_\alpha \in X$ . Since  $\pi_\alpha$  is continuous,  $(\pi_\alpha)_*\mathcal{U} \searrow x_\alpha$  by Theorem 3.2.



Theorem 4.2 Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $X = \prod X_\alpha$ , and let  $x = (x_\alpha)_\alpha \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$  iff  $(\pi_\alpha)_* \mathcal{U} \ni x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . ( $\pi_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $x \mapsto x_\alpha$ ).

Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $(\pi_\alpha)_* \mathcal{U} \ni x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  and let  $x = (x_\alpha)_\alpha \in X$ . We must show  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$ . Given an arbitrary open nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$ , we must show  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Without loss of generality,  $U$  is a subbasic open set of the form

$$U = \pi_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) = \left( \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} X_\beta \right) \times U_\alpha.$$

(some  $\alpha$ )

This follows from Thm 3.2 because  $\pi_\alpha$  is continuous.  $\square$

Theorem 5.1 (Tychonoff) If each  $X_\alpha$  is compact then so is  $X = \prod X_\alpha$ .

Proof Let  $X_\alpha$  be compact. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any ultrafilter on  $X = \prod X_\alpha$ ; we must show that  $\mathcal{U}$  converges to at least one point of  $X$ . But  $(\pi_\alpha)_* \mathcal{U} \ni x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for some point  $x_\alpha$  since  $X_\alpha$  is compact. Let  $x = (x_\alpha)_\alpha$  and show  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$ . This follows from Theorem 4.2.  $\square$