



# Number Theory

## Solutions to HW3

1. The integers in the interval  $[10^{39}, 10^{40})$  are exactly the integers having exactly 40 digits. Let  $I$  be the set of integers in this interval. There are exactly  $|I| = 10^{40} - 10^{39} = 9 \times 10^{39}$  integers in this interval. The number of primes in  $I$  is

$$|P \cap I| = \pi(10^{40}) - \pi(10^{39}) \approx \frac{10^{40}}{40 \ln 10} - \frac{10^{39}}{39 \ln 10} \approx 9.7438 \times 10^{37}$$

where  $P = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$  denotes the set of all primes.

(a)  $\frac{|P \cap I|}{|I|} \approx \frac{9.7438 \times 10^{37}}{9 \times 10^{39}} \approx \mathbf{0.01083}$ .

- (b) The number of integers in  $I$  having last digit 1, 3, 7 or 9 is exactly  $0.4|I| = 3.6 \times 10^{39}$ . All primes in  $I$  (with exactly two exceptions, namely 2 and 5) have last digit 1, 3, 7 or 9, so the new probability estimate is

$$\frac{|P \cap I| - 2}{0.4|I|} \approx 2.5 \frac{|P \cap I|}{|I|} \approx 2.5 \times 0.01083 \approx \mathbf{0.02707}.$$

By restricting to random integers with last digit 1, 3, 7 or 9, we have increased our probability of obtaining a prime from about 1.1% to about 2.7%.

For (c) and (d), we replace  $I$  by the set of 40-digit integers starting with the digits 100. This is the set  $I'$  of integers in the interval  $[10^{39}, 10^{39} + 10^{37})$ . The number of integers in this interval is  $|I'| = 10^{37}$ ; and the number of primes in  $I'$  is

$$|P \cap I'| = \pi(10^{39} + 10^{37}) - \pi(10^{39}) \approx \frac{10^{39} + 10^{37}}{\ln(10^{39} + 10^{37})} - \frac{10^{39}}{39 \ln 10} \approx 1.1101 \times 10^{35}.$$

(c)  $\frac{|P \cap I'|}{|I'|} \approx \frac{1.101 \times 10^{35}}{10^{37}} \approx \mathbf{0.01110}$ .

- (d) As in (b), restricting to integers with last digit 1, 3, 7 or 9 multiplies the probability estimate by 2.5. The new estimated probability of primality is  $2.5 \times 0.01110 \approx \mathbf{0.02753}$ .

2. (a)  $\left(\frac{138}{101}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{101}\right)\left(\frac{3}{101}\right)\left(\frac{23}{101}\right) = (-1)\left(\frac{101}{3}\right)\left(\frac{101}{23}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{9}{23}\right) = (-1)(-1)(1) = \mathbf{1}$ .  
(Checked using  $138^{50} \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$ .)

(b)  $\left(\frac{71}{103}\right) = -\left(\frac{103}{71}\right) = -\left(\frac{32}{71}\right) = -\left(\frac{16}{71}\right)\left(\frac{2}{71}\right) = -(1)(1) = \mathbf{-1}$ . (Checked using  $71^{51} \equiv -1 \pmod{103}$ .)

(c)  $\left(\frac{68}{107}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{107}\right)\left(\frac{17}{107}\right) = 1\left(\frac{107}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{17}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = \mathbf{-1}$ . (Checked using  $68^{53} \equiv -1 \pmod{107}$ .)

(d)  $\left(\frac{-22}{109}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{109}\right)\left(\frac{2}{109}\right)\left(\frac{11}{109}\right) = (1)(-1)\left(\frac{109}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{-1}{11}\right) = \mathbf{1}$ . (Checked using  $(-22)^{54} \equiv 1 \pmod{109}$ .)

3. (a) I checked using the command `PrimeQ[422231]` in Mathematica, which returned the answer `true`.
- (b) The smallest such positive integer is **37**. I checked that  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for each  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, 36\}$ , and  $37^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .
4. (a) The smallest such prime is  **$p = 1,000,000,009$** . (The smallest prime exceeding  $10^9$  is 1,000,000,007.)
- (b) I randomly chose  $\eta = 33$ .
- (c) I compute  **$c = 430,477,711$**  by taking the remainder of  $\eta^{(p-1)/4} \pmod{p}$ . (Half of the choices of  $\eta$  will yield instead  **$c = 569,522,298$**  which is not strictly in the interval  $[1, \frac{p-1}{2}]$ . This might result in a slightly longer search for the answer in (d); but I don't really care which of the two square roots of  $-1$  we choose. In hindsight, I shouldn't have required  $c \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ .)
- (d) Using the Maple code demonstrated in class, I obtain  **$p = 3747^2 + 31400^2$** . This is the essentially unique solution.
5. Given  $\alpha \approx 1.320143884892$ , I numerically obtain  $\alpha \approx [1, 3, 8, 11, 149880095, 1, 5]$ . This strongly suggests roundoff error, and the actual result should be obtained by replacing the term 149880095 by  $\infty$ , giving

$$\alpha = [1, 3, 8, 11] = 1 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{8 + \frac{1}{11}}} = \frac{367}{278} \approx 1.3201438848920863309.$$

All thirteen decimal digits of the original approximation agree with these digits; so I infer that  $\alpha = \frac{367}{278}$  is the correct exact value.

6. Given  $\beta \approx 2.4188611699158$ , I numerically obtain  $\beta \approx [2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \dots]$ . Eventually the obvious repetition breaks down, suggesting roundoff error; and I am compelled to believe that actually

$$\beta = [2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \dots] = 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = [2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \dots] = 2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}} = \frac{5\gamma + 3}{2\gamma + 1}$$

so that  $2\gamma^2 - 4\gamma - 3 = 0$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(2 \pm \sqrt{10})$ . Since  $\gamma > 0$ , we must take the larger of these two roots, so  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{10})$  and

$$2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 2 + \frac{2}{2 + \sqrt{10}} \cdot \frac{-2 + \sqrt{10}}{-2 + \sqrt{10}} = 2 + \frac{-4 + 2\sqrt{10}}{6} = \frac{8 + 2\sqrt{10}}{6} = \frac{4 + \sqrt{10}}{3};$$

$$\beta = 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}} = 2 + \frac{3}{4 + \sqrt{10}} \cdot \frac{4 - \sqrt{10}}{4 - \sqrt{10}} = 2 + \frac{12 - 3\sqrt{10}}{6} = \frac{8 - \sqrt{10}}{2}.$$