



Combinatorics

Book 2

The graph $\Gamma - v$ (formed by removing v and its edges from Γ) has one fewer vertex, so it can be properly colored using at most 6 colors. And since v has at most 5 neighbors in $\Gamma - v$, there is a color left over which can be used to color vertex v . This gives a proper coloring of Γ using at most 6 colors (a contradiction...)

We will improve this to show that actually 5 colors suffice to properly color every planar graph.

Given a graph Γ , the chromatic number of Γ , denoted $\chi(\Gamma)$, is the smallest number of colors we can use to properly color the vertices of Γ . A proper coloring of the vertices of Γ is a coloring of the vertices such that no edge has both endpoints of the same color.

$\chi \neq \chi^*$
Abc... xXx
↑
Greek "chi"

The theorem of Appel and Haken (1976) is that every planar graph Γ has $\chi(\Gamma) \leq 4$. Note that $\chi(K_n) = n$. Here K_n is the complete graph of order n .



A graph Γ has $\chi(\Gamma) = 1$ iff it has vertices but no edges.

A graph Γ has $\chi(\Gamma) \leq 2$ iff Γ is bipartite iff Γ has no circuits of odd length.

Computing $\chi(\Gamma)$ is hard in general.


Theorem If Γ is a finite planar graph then $\chi(\Gamma) \leq 5$. Proof due to Heawood.

Proof If the theorem fails then there is a smallest counterexample Γ with n vertices (so Γ is planar and every planar graph of order $n-1$ has chromatic number ≤ 5 while $\chi(\Gamma) \geq 6$). We seek a contradiction. Γ has a vertex v of degree ≤ 5 . In fact $\deg v = 5$. (If $\deg v \leq 4$ then $\chi(\Gamma) \leq 5$, a contradiction.) Let Γ' be the graph obtained from Γ by deleting v and its five edges,



so $\chi(\Gamma') \leq 5$. Say v_i has color i ($i=1,2,\dots,5$).


colors 1,3 only. This graph is bipartite.



$$\Gamma_{13} = \Gamma - (v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$$



Consider the vertices $V_{13} \subset \{\text{vertices of } \Gamma\}$ having in part of Γ_{13} , reverse colors 1,3 so that v_3 gets color 1. Then we are free to color v using color 3 since its neighbors are color 1,2,4,5.

Otherwise Γ_{13} has a path from v_1 to v_3 .




Similarly there is a path from v_2 to v_4 using only vertices of colors 2 and 4. Contradiction! \square

Given a graph Γ , a subgraph of Γ is formed by taking a subset of the edges of Γ together with all their vertices. An induced subgraph of Γ is formed by taking a subset of the vertices of Γ together with all their edges in Γ .



is a subgraph of Γ . (not an induced subgraph of Γ)



is an induced subgraph of Γ .

An induced subgraph of Γ is a subgraph of Γ , but not conversely.

A k-clique in Γ is a complete subgraph of Γ , i.e. a subset of the vertices, any two of which are joined.

In Γ above, $\{1, 2, 6\}$ is a clique (in fact a 3-clique). The clique number of Γ , denoted $w(\Gamma)$, is the size of the largest clique in Γ . It is hard to compute


W vs. ω
Roman Greek

$w(\Gamma)$.

Theorem For every graph Γ , $\chi(\Gamma) \geq w(\Gamma)$.

Warning: this not equality! For the Petersen graph P , $w(P) = 2$.


Proof: The vertices in a clique of size $w(\Gamma)$ require $w(\Gamma)$ different colors.



$\chi(P) = 3$
for the Petersen graph P .

Dual to the clique number $\omega(\Gamma)$ we have the coclique number $\alpha(\Gamma)$ which is the maximum number of vertices in Γ , no two of which are joined. (This is $\alpha(\Gamma) = \omega(\bar{\Gamma})$ where $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the complementary graph). Cocliques are also called independent sets of vertices.

Eg. $\alpha(P) = 4$. $|\chi(P)| \geq \frac{10}{4} = 2.5 \Rightarrow \chi(P) \geq 3$.



$\{1, 2, 3\}$ is a coclique which is not contained in any larger coclique; it is a maximal coclique.

A maximum coclique (i.e. a coclique of maximum size) is $\{1, 3, 7, 8\}$.

This is maximum size because P has vertex set $\{0, 3, 9, 6, 1\} \cup \{2, 7, 5, 4, 8\}$ as a union of two 5-cycles (circuits of length 5). Any set of size at least 5 vertices has either 3 on the inner 5-cycle $\{2, 7, 5, 4, 8\}$ or 3 vertices on the outer 5-cycle $\{0, 3, 9, 6, 1\}$. In either case there is an edge in that 5-cycle joining two vertices we have chosen.

Theorem $\chi(\Gamma) \geq \frac{|V|}{\alpha(\Gamma)}$ where $|V|$ = the number of vertices = the order of Γ .

Proof Let $k = \chi(\Gamma)$. Properly color the vertices $1, 2, \dots, k$ and let V_i be the subset of vertices colored i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This gives a partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$

($V_i \cup V_j =$ union of V_i and V_j ; $V_i \sqcup V_j =$ disjoint union of V_i and V_j). Each V_i is a coclique so $|V_i| \leq \alpha(\Gamma)$ so $|V| = |V_1| + |V_2| + \dots + |V_k| \leq \underbrace{\alpha(\Gamma) + \alpha(\Gamma) + \dots + \alpha(\Gamma)}_k = k\alpha(\Gamma)$ so $\chi(\Gamma) = k \geq \frac{|V|}{\alpha(\Gamma)}$.

March

M

W

F


6

8



10

Test 1: Wed Mar 8

13 15 17 Spring Break



You can use nauty to test isomorphism between two graphs.






Using nauty software

$$G = \text{Aut } \Gamma$$



$$G = \langle (1,3)(4,5)(6,7)(8,9), (0,2)(1,4)(3,5)(6,9)(7,8) \rangle$$


$$|G| = 4$$

G has 3 orbits on the vertices: $\{0,2\}$, $\{1,3,4,5\}$, $\{6,7,8,9\}$





$|\text{Aut } \overline{K_5}| = 120$





The Petersen graph P has a Hamiltonian path

$(0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 5, 7, 9)$ (a path touching each vertex exactly once) but no Hamiltonian circuit (ending at the same vertex where it started).

The Hamming cube $H_3 =$  $=$ 

does have a Hamiltonian circuit.

000
100
110
010
011
101
001
000


"Gray code"

Every Hamming graph H_n ($n \geq 2$) has a Hamiltonian circuit.

A graph having a Hamiltonian circuit is called Hamiltonian.

Looking for Hamiltonian paths or circuits is known to be difficult in general.

Testing whether a given graph Γ is Hamiltonian is NP-complete.



Theorem: The Petersen graph P is not Hamiltonian, i.e. it does not have a Hamilton circuit/cycle.



Proof Suppose P has a Hamilton circuit. Without loss of generality this circuit contains the path $(4, 0, 1, 2)$. (This is because P has 120 automorphisms mapping any such path of length 3 to any other.)

The Hamilton circuit uses two of the edges from vertex 3, so it uses either $\{3, 4\}$ or $\{2, 3\}$; so without loss of generality, it uses the edge $\{2, 3\}$.


We cannot use the edge $\{3, 4\}$ as this would complete the circuit without passing through all vertices; so we must use the edges $\{3, 6\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$. To continue the circuit from vertex 6, we have two choices: proceed through vertex 8 or vertex 9. Neither of these choices leads to a Hamilton circuit. This is a contradiction. \square

Euler paths and circuits

The Seven bridges of Königsberg



An Euler trail is a trail (repeating vertices but not edges) which uses each edge exactly once. An Euler circuit is an Euler trail that returns to its starting point.



This graph has an Euler trail. In order to have an Euler trail, a graph must have either 0 or 2 vertices of odd degree. When there are no vertices of odd degree, we have an Euler circuit.

Theorem (Euler) A graph has an Euler trail iff it is connected and it has either 0 or 2 vertices of odd degree. In the case every vertex has even degree, we have an Euler circuit/cycle.

We sometimes speak of labelled graphs and unlabelled graphs.

Eq. on the vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, there are $2^6 = 64$ labelled graphs
 $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ pairs of vertices.


1. 4

2. 3


There are $\binom{n}{2}$ labelled graphs on n vertices.
 But many of them are isomorphic.



These are different labelled graphs but they are isomorphic.




As unlabelled graphs they are isomorphic, hence the same graph.




There are 11 unlabelled graphs of order 4 i.e. 11 isomorphism types
 of graphs of order 4, i.e. 11 graphs of order 4
 up to isomorphism.

The Petersen graph has girth 5 (the shortest cycle has length 5). It has 15 edges.
 For a graph on 10 vertices, 15 edges is the maximum possible for girth 5.
 For a graph on 10 vertices without triangles (i.e. girth ≥ 4), what is the maximum possible number of edges?

Recall: $K_{m,n} =$



$K_{m,n}$ has mn edges. $K_{m,n}$ is bipartite so it has no cycles of odd length.
 $K_{2,8}$ has 16 edges. In particular it has no triangles.



$K_{2,8}$
16 edges



$K_{5,5}$
25 edges
girth 4
(no triangles)

Theorem (Mantel 1907)

If Γ is a graph of order n with no triangles (i.e. its girth is at least 4) then Γ has at most $\frac{n^2}{4}$ edges.

If n is even then $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ attains the upper bound of $\frac{n^2}{4}$ edges. What if n is odd?


On 9 vertices, any graph without triangles has at most 20 edges.

$K_{4,5}$



$$\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even, for } K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \\ \frac{n^2-1}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, for } K_{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

Proof Let Γ be a graph of order n with no triangles, $\Gamma = (V, E)$. (V is the set of vertices, E is the set of edges. For every edge $\{x, y\} \in E$, $d(x) + d(y) \leq n$.)



Add the inequality $d(x) + d(y) \leq n$ over all edges $\{x, y\} \in E$ to get $\sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} (d(x) + d(y)) \leq ne$.

Next, count the number of triples of vertices (x, y, z) with $x \sim y \sim z$.




There are n choices for $y \in V$ and $d(y)$ choices for x , $d(y)$ choices for z , so $d(y)^2$ choices for x and z (given y). The total number of walks of length 2 is $\sum_{y \in V} d(y)^2$.

On the other hand, there are $e = |E|$ edges in Γ . For the edge $\{x, y\} \in E$, how many walks of length 2 contain this edge? $d(x) + d(y)$ choices of walk of length 2 in which we include a step from x to y .


The total number of walks of length 2 is

$$\sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} (d(x) + d(y)).$$



$d(x)$ choices for z (given the edge $\{x, y\}$)

or



$d(y)$ choices for z (given the edge $\{x, y\}$)

Therefore

$$\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 = \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} \underbrace{(d(x) + d(y))}_{\leq n} \leq en$$

If $\sum_{x \in V} d(x) = 2e$, what does this tell us about $\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2$?

Use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\underbrace{(d(1) + \dots + d(n))}_{2e}^2 \leq n(d(1)^2 + \dots + d(n)^2)$$

$$4e^2 \leq n \sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 = n \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} (d(x) + d(y)) \leq n \cdot ne = n^2 e$$

$$\text{So } e \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Given two vectors

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$


$$b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$|a \cdot b| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$$

where $a \cdot b = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_n b_n$

$$\|a\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}$$

$$a \cdot b = \|a\| \|b\| \cos \theta$$



$$|\cos \theta| \leq 1.$$

Special case: $b = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$

$$\|b\| = \sqrt{\underbrace{1^2 + 1^2 + \dots + 1^2}_n} = \sqrt{n}$$

$$a \cdot b = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$$



$$|a \cdot b| \leq \|a\| \cdot \sqrt{n}$$

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_n)^2 \leq n(a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)$$

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz: Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider

$$f(t) = \|a - tb\|^2 = (a - tb) \cdot (a - tb) = a \cdot a - tb \cdot a - ta \cdot b + t^2 b \cdot b = \|a\|^2 - 2t(a \cdot b) + t^2 \|b\|^2$$

of course $f(t) \geq 0$ for all t .



The discriminant



$$(-2a \cdot b)^2 - 4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \leq 0$$

$$4(a \cdot b)^2 \leq 4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2$$

$$(a \cdot b)^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2$$

The disc. of $at^2 + bt + c$
is $b^2 - 4ac$


Show me a graph Γ of order 5 such that neither Γ nor $\bar{\Gamma}$ has a triangle. (i.e. both Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ have girth ≥ 4). Recall: $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the complement of Γ .

$\Gamma =$  $\bar{\Gamma} =$  $\cong \Gamma$ (a 5-cycle). Both Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ have girth 5.


Show me a graph Γ of order 6 such that neither Γ nor $\bar{\Gamma}$ have a triangle.

There is no such graph. Why not?

Color the edges of K_5 with 2 colors red, blue. To avoid a monochromatic triangle (all red or all blue):




K_6 :



Theorem If we color the edges of K_6 red and blue, then there is either a red triangle or a blue triangle.



Proof Consider a vertex v .




Now x, y, z form a triangle.

If any edge of this triangle is blue then together with the edges to v we have a blue triangle. Otherwise all edges of the triangle $\{x, y, z\}$ are red. \square


There are five edges from v so by the Pigeon hole Principle, at least three of them are the same color, say $\{v, x\}, \{v, y\}, \{v, z\}$ are blue.



is a subgraph of Γ



is not a subgraph.




is an induced subgraph.

Graph Theory \leftrightarrow Linear Algebra

Matroid Theory

The adjacency matrix of a graph Γ with vertices $1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is the number of edges from vertex i to vertex j . Eq.




has adjacency matrix


$$\begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} = A$$

A symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrix with "zero diagonal" (corresponding to an undirected graph with no loops or multiple edges)


$$\begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$



directed graph with loops and multiple edges

$\Gamma =$  has adjacency matrix

$$\begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A \end{matrix}$$

The unlabelled graph  has several choices of adjacency matrix.

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

The (i,j) -entry of A^2 is the number of walks of length 2 from vertex i to vertex j . (A walk is allowed to repeat edges or vertices, unlike in a path or a trail.)

$$A^3 = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A^2} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The (i,j) -entry of A^m is the number of walks of length m from vertex i to vertex j in Γ .

$$A^0 = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^1 = A$$

 has adjacency matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

If Γ is a k -regular graph then $Aj = kj$, $AJ = kJ$ i.e. j is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue k .

$$Aj = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ degree sequence}$$


$$AJ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which graphs are ^{connected} regular with diameter 2 and girth 5?

Any such graph
as order 5, 10, 50 or
3250.



Petersen graph



Look at $K_3 =$ with adjacency matrix $A = \begin{matrix} & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$. The number of walks of length k from vertex i to vertex j is the (i,j) -entry of A^k .

How many walks of length 10 are there from vertex 2 to vertex 3 in K_3 ? 341.

$$A^{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 342 & 341 & 341 \\ 341 & 342 & 341 \\ 341 & 341 & 342 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since A is a real symmetric matrix, it is diagonalizable i.e. there is a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of eigenvectors of A . One obvious eigenvector $\underline{u}_1 = \underline{j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$: $A\underline{j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Since K_3 is 2-regular. Another eigenvector $\underline{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$: $A\underline{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = -\underline{u}_2$


$$\underline{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A\underline{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = -\underline{u}_3$$

It is customary to normalize the eigenvectors as

$$\underline{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{u}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{u}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that $\{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \underline{u}_3\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of eigenvectors of A .

Orthonormal means $\underline{u}_i \cdot \underline{u}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$



$$A\underline{u}_i = \lambda_i \underline{u}_i \quad \lambda_1 = 2, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -1$$

A has diagonal form $D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. $D^{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1024 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A = UDU^{-1} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad U^{-1}AU = D \quad \text{where} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ \underline{u}_1 & \underline{u}_2 & \underline{u}_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has eigenvalues $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ but no basis of eigenvectors.

$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ has a basis of eigenvectors

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (with eigenvalue 3)

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ (with eigenvalue 2)

but they aren't perpendicular/orthogonal.

$$A^{10} = (U\underline{u}_1^{-1})(\lambda_1^{10} \underline{u}_1) (\underline{u}_2^{-1})(\lambda_2^{10} \underline{u}_2) (\underline{u}_3^{-1})(\lambda_3^{10} \underline{u}_3) \dots (\underline{u}_3^{-1})(\lambda_3^{10} \underline{u}_3) = U D^{10} U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1024 & 341 & 341 \\ 341 & 342 & 341 \\ 341 & 341 & 342 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(x-2)(x^2+2x+1) = x^3 - 0x^2 - 3x - 2$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{diagonalize}} D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Check: $\det A = 2$ $\text{tr} A = 0$
 $\det D = 2$ $\text{tr} D = 0$



The list of eigenvalues 2, -1, -1 is the spectrum of A (or of D)

A, D are similar so they have the same trace, determinant and characteristic polynomial
 $\det(xI - A) = \det(xI - D) = (x-2)(x+1)(x+1) = x^3 - 0x^2 - 3x - 2$


A Moore graph is a k -regular graph of diameter 2 and girth 5. What are the examples?

$k \geq 2$



1-regular graphs are not connected unless $n=2$.

$$n = k+1 + k(k-1) = k^2 + 1$$



$$|V_i| = k-1$$

$$|V_i| = k-1$$

Now let A be the adjacency matrix of the Moore graph of degree k , $n = k^2 + 1$. A is $n \times n$, $I = I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$


$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad \underline{j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$$

$$A \underline{j} = k \underline{j} \quad A J = k J \quad J A = k J$$

A, J, I all commute with each other.

$J - I - A$ is the adjacency matrix of the complementary graph.

Between V_i and V_j ($i \neq j$) the edges form a perfect matching



$\left((k-1)! \right)^2$ ways to choose these perfect matchings.

If you can do this without creating any 3-cycles or 4-cycles then you have a Moore graph.

$$A^T = A$$

$$(A J)^T = (k J)^T$$

$$J A = J A^T = k J$$

$$A^2 = kI + (J-I-A)$$

why? The (i,j) -entry of A^2 is the number of walks of length 2 from vertex i to vertex j .

Case $i=j$: A^2 has k in the diagonal entry (i,i) .



Case $i \neq j$: $i \text{ --- } j$. Here the (i,j) -entry of A^2 is 0. Same on the right hand side.

Case $i \neq j$, $i \neq j$: $i \text{ --- } \bullet \text{ --- } j$. Here the (i,j) -entry on both sides is 1.