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#### Abstract

We determine the $p$-rank of the incidence matrix of hyperplanes of $P G\left(n, p^{e}\right)$ and points of a nondegenerate Hermitian variety. As a corollary, we obtain new bounds for the size of caps and the existence of ovoids in finite unitary spaces. This paper is a companion to [2], in which Blokhuis and this author derive the analogous $p$-ranks for quadrics.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $F \supseteq K$ be finite fields of order $q^{2}$ and $q=p^{e}$ respectively, where $p$ is prime. Choose a nondegenerate Hermitian variety of $P G(n, F)$, denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, the zero set of a nondegenerate unitary form $U$, as defined in Section 2. The number of points and of hyperplanes in $P G(n, F)$ is $m=\left[\begin{array}{c}n+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q^{2}}=\left(q^{2(n+1)}-1\right) /\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{s}$ denote the points of $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, where $s$ is given by Lemma 2.1 below, and let $P_{s+1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ be the remaining points of $P G(n, F)$. Name the hyperplanes as $H_{i}=P_{i}^{\delta}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, where $\delta$ is the unitary polarity associated to $U$; thus $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{s}$ are the hyperplanes tangent to the Hermitian variety. Then we have a symmetric point-hyperplane incidence matrix for $P G(n, F)$ given by

$$
A=\left(a_{i j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq m\right)=\binom{A_{1}}{A_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{i j}=0$ or 1 according as $P_{i} \notin H_{j}$ or $P_{i} \in H_{j}$. Here $A_{1}=\left(A_{11} A_{12}\right)$ consists of the first $s$ rows of $A ; A_{11}$ consists of the first $s$ columns of $A_{1}$, etc. Our main result is the determination of the rank of $A_{1}$ in characteristic $p$, as will be proven in Section 5:
1.1 Theorem. $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}=\left[\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}\right]^{e}+1$.

For comparison, we state the corresponding result for quadrics as found in [2]: for $n \geq 2$, the incidence matrix of hyperplanes of $P G\left(n, p^{e}\right)$ and points of a nondegenerate quadric,
has $p$-rank equal to $\left[\binom{p+n-1}{n}-\binom{p+n-3}{n}\right]^{e}+1$. All these results are related to the following, which is well known.
1.2 Theorem. $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A=\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}+1$.

The latter result has numerous independent sources, such as Goethals and Delsarte [4], MacWilliams and Mann [6], and Smith [8]. See also [3] for a treatment closer in spirit to ours, or [1] for more details and related results and discussion.

The following new bounds for caps and ovoids on Hermitian varieties, are improvements of those given in [2]. Recall that a cap in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ is a set of points in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, no two of which lie on a line of $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. An ovoid in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ is a cap of size $q^{2\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}+1$ (see [5], [9]).
1.3 Corollary. Let $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ be a nondegenerate Hermitian variety in $P G\left(n, q^{2}\right), q=p^{e}$.
(i) If $\mathcal{S}$ is a cap in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, then $\left.|\mathcal{S}| \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}p+n-1 \\ n\end{array}\right)^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}\right]^{e}+1$.
(ii) If $n=2 m+1$ and $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ contains an ovoid, then $p^{n} \leq\binom{ p+n-1}{n}^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}$.

The latter follows directly from Theorem 1.1, since if $\mathcal{S}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right\}$ is a cap in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, then the upper left $k \times k$ submatrix of $A_{11}$ is an identity matrix, whence $k \leq \operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{11} \leq$ $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}$ (cf. [2]).

We remark that ovoids in $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ are trivial for $n=2$; exist for $n=3$ (see [10], [7]); are nonexistent for $n=2 m \geq 4$ (see [9]); and are unknown to exist for $n=2 m+1 \geq 5$. As an application of Corollary 1.3, we see that there do not exist ovoids in $\mathcal{Z}(U) \subset$ $P G\left(2 m+1, p^{2 e}\right)$ for $p \in\{2,3\}$ and $2 m+1 \geq 7$; for $p \in\{5,7\}$ and $2 m+1 \geq 9$; or for $p \in\{11,13\}$ and $2 m+1 \geq 11$. The case of $P G\left(11,13^{2 e}\right)$ was not excluded, however, by the weaker bounds given in [2].

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on some rather technical arguments involving polynomials. However, this approach yields, as a bonus, a natural interpretation of the row or column space of $A_{1}$ over $F$, as a module for the unitary group; see Theorem 5.5 below. It remains an open problem to determine $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{11}$, which might conceivably yield a slight improvement of Corollary 1.3.

We wish to thank the referee for suggestions which were useful in revising the original manuscript.

## 2. Preliminaries

We suppose that Hermitian forms are familiar to the reader. However, we define our terms and establish notation for forms in a polynomial setting.

Let $V=F^{n+1}=\left\{\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{i} \in F\right\}$, considered as a vector space over $F=G F\left(q^{2}\right)$. Let $F[\mathbf{X}]:=F\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$, the ring of polynomials in the $n+1$ indeterminates $\mathbf{X}:=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, and let $F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ be the subspace consisting of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ within $F[\mathbf{X}]$, together with the zero polynomial. The zero set of each nonzero $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$, considered projectively, becomes a variety of degree $d$ in $P G(V)=P G(n, F)$, denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(f)$. A Hermitian form on $V$ is a polynomial of the form

$$
h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})=\sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{i j} X_{i} Y_{j}^{q} \in F_{q+1}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]
$$

where $a_{i j} \in F, a_{j i}^{q}=a_{i j}$ for all $i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. We will suppose that $h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is nondegenerate, i.e. $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right) \neq 0$. The corresponding Hermitian polarity $\delta$ of $P G(V)$ is determined by

$$
\text { (point of } P G(V)) \quad\langle\mathbf{y}\rangle \stackrel{\delta}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{Z}\left(\ell_{\mathbf{y}}\right) \quad \text { (hyperplane of } P G(V) \text { ) }
$$

where $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{y} \in V$ and $\ell_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{X}):=h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) \in F_{1}[\mathbf{X}]$. (Observe the use of upper case letters for indeterminates, as in $\mathbf{Y}=\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$, and lower case letters for constants, as in $\left.\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right).\right)$ The unitary form corresponding to $h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is

$$
U(\mathbf{X}):=h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \in F_{q+1}[\mathbf{X}] .
$$

It is well known that any member of the triple $(h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \delta, U(\mathbf{X}))$ determines the other two (although $h$ and $U$ are determined only to within nonzero $K$-multiples). A point $\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (respectively, hyperplane $H$ ) is absolute with respect to $\delta$, if $\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle \in\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle^{\delta}$ (resp., $H^{\delta} \in H$ ). If $n \geq 2$ and $U(\mathbf{X})$ is nondegenerate (i.e. $h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is nondegenerate), then the polynomial $U(\mathbf{X})$ is absolutely irreducible.

The standard Hermitian form is given by $\sum X_{i} Y_{i}^{q}$. It is well known that any nondegenerate Hermitian form is equivalent to the standard form, under a linear change of coördinates. A nondegenerate Hermitian variety in $P G(V)$ is a variety of the form $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, where $U(\mathbf{X})$ is a nondegenerate unitary form. This is exactly the set of absolute points with respect to the corresponding Hermitian polarity $\delta$. A hyperplane $H$ is said to be tangent to the variety $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ if $H$ is absolute with respect to $\delta$. The following may be found in Theorem 23.2.4 of [5].
2.1 Lemma. $P G(n, F)$ contains $s=\left(q^{n+1}+(-1)^{n}\right)\left(q^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right) /\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ absolute points (or hyperplanes), and $m-s=q^{n}\left(q^{n+1}+(-1)^{n}\right) /(q+1)$ nonabsolute points (or hyperplanes).

A projective subspace $W$ of $P G(V)$ is said to be nondegenerate if $\mathcal{Z}(U) \cap W$ is a nondegenerate Hermitian variety in $W$. For example, the nondegenerate hyperplanes of $P G(V)$ are precisely the nonabsolute hyperplanes of $P G(V)$ with respect to $\delta$.

## 3. Hermitian Curves

Consider the case $n=2$, so that $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ is a Hermitian curve in $P G(2, F)$. Recall that there are many homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbf{X}=(X, Y, Z):=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ of degree $\geq q^{2}+1$ which vanish on $P G(2, F)$. We will determine all homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq q^{2}$ which vanish on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. First, observe that these are not necessarily multiples of $U(\mathbf{X})$. For, given a nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ of $P G(2, F)$, where $0 \neq \ell(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{1}[\mathbf{X}]$, define

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}):=\ell(\mathbf{X}) \prod_{i=1}^{q^{2}-q} h\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{a}_{i}\right)
$$

where $\left\{\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{i}\right\rangle: 1 \leq i \leq q^{2}-q\right\}$ is the set of all nonabsolute points of $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$, and $h(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is the Hermitian form corresponding to $U(\mathbf{X})$. Note that $\operatorname{deg} f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})=q^{2}-q+1$, and that the nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ determines $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ only to within a nonzero scalar multiple. Now let $\langle\mathbf{v}\rangle$ be a point of $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. If $\langle\mathbf{v}\rangle$ lies on $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$, then $\ell(\mathbf{v})=0$. Otherwise $\langle\mathbf{v}\rangle$ is an absolute point not on $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$, in which case the absolute line $\langle\mathbf{v}\rangle^{\delta}$ meets $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ in a nonabsolute point $\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{i}\right\rangle$, and $h\left(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}_{i}\right)=0$. In any case, $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{v})=0$; that is, $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$.

To see that $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is not divisible by $U(\mathbf{X})$, we may suppose that $U(\mathbf{X})=X^{q+1}+$ $Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}$, the standard unitary form, and that $\ell(\mathbf{X})=X$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)=\{(0,0,1)\} \cup$ $\{(0,1, \alpha): \alpha \in F\}$, and the absolute points of $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ are $\left\{(0,1, \alpha): \alpha \in F, \alpha^{q+1}=-1\right\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}) & =\lambda X Z \prod_{\alpha \in F} h(\mathbf{X},(0,1, \alpha)) / \prod_{\alpha^{q+1}=-1} h(\mathbf{X},(0,1, \alpha)) \\
& =\lambda X Z \prod_{\alpha \in F}\left(Y+\alpha^{q} Z\right) / \prod_{\alpha^{q+1}=-1}\left(Y+\alpha^{q} Z\right) \\
& =\lambda X\left(Y^{q^{2}} Z-Y Z^{q^{2}}\right) /\left(Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\lambda \in F \backslash\{0\}$. By comparing degrees with respect to $X$, we see that $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is not divisible by $U(\mathbf{X})$.

Now $U(\mathbf{X})$ and $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ generate a nonprincipal ideal $\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right) \subset F[\mathbf{X}]$, any member of which vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$.
3.1 Lemma. The ideal $\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$ is independent of the choice of nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$.

Proof. Clearly the verity of the lemma is not affected by the choice of nondegenerate unitary form $U(\mathbf{X})$, although the ideal $\mathcal{I}=\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$ itself certainly depends on the choice of $U(\mathbf{X})$. For convenience we choose the somewhat less standard form $U(\mathbf{X})=$ $X^{q} Y+X Y^{q}+Z^{q+1}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{*}\right)$ be two nonabsolute lines of $P G(2, F)$. We first assume that the intersection point $\mathcal{Z}(\ell) \cap \mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{*}\right)$ is absolute. Since the isometry group of $U(\mathbf{X})$ acts transitively on the set of ordered pairs of nonabsolute lines whose intersection is an absolute point, we may assume that $\ell(\mathbf{X})=Z, \ell^{*}(\mathbf{X})=Y-Z, \mathcal{Z}(\ell)=\{\langle(1,0,0)\rangle\} \cup$ $\left\{\langle(\alpha, 1,0)\rangle: \alpha \in F, \alpha^{q}+\alpha=0\right\}, \mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{*}\right)=\{\langle(1,0,0)\rangle\} \cup\left\{\langle(\alpha, 1,1)\rangle: \alpha \in F, \alpha^{q}+\alpha+1=0\right\}$. As above, we obtain (to within a nonzero scalar multiple)
and

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})=Z \prod_{\alpha^{q}+\alpha \neq 0}(X+\alpha Y)=Z\left(X^{q^{2}-1}-Y^{q^{2}-1}\right) /\left(X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X}) & =(Y-Z) \prod_{\alpha^{q}+\alpha+1 \neq 0}(X+\alpha Y+Z) \\
& =(Y-Z)\left[X^{q^{2}}+Z^{q^{2}}-(X+Z) Y^{q^{2}-1}\right] /\left[X^{q}+Z^{q}+(X+Z) Y^{q-1}-Y^{q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Some algebraic manipulation shows that

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})+f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X})=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
\left(X^{q^{2}-1}-Y^{q^{2}-1}\right)\left(X^{q} Y+X Y^{q}+Z^{q+1}\right) \\
+Z(Y-Z)\left(X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right)\left[Z^{q^{2}-1}-\left(X^{q} Y+X Y^{q}\right)^{q-1}\right]
\end{array}}{\left(X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right)\left[X^{q}+Z^{q}+(X+Z) Y^{q-1}-Y^{q}\right]}
$$

Let us denote the numerator and denominator of the latter expression by $\operatorname{Numer}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\operatorname{Denom}(\mathbf{X})$. Of course, $\operatorname{Denom}(\mathbf{X})$ divides $\operatorname{Numer}(\mathbf{X})$ since $f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ and $f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X})$ are polynomials. Also, $U(\mathbf{X})$ divides $N u m e r(\mathbf{X})$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N u m e r(\mathbf{X})}{U(\mathbf{X})} & =X^{q^{2}-1}-Y^{q^{2}-1}+Z(Y-Z)\left(X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right) \frac{Z^{q^{2}-1}-\left(X^{q} Y+X Y^{q}\right)^{q-1}}{Z^{q+1}+X X^{q} Y+X Y^{q}} \\
& =X^{q^{2}-1}-Y^{q^{2}-1}+Z(Y-Z)\left(X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} Z^{(q+1)(q-2-i)}\left(-X^{q} Y-X Y^{q}\right)^{i} \\
& \in F_{q^{2}-1}[\mathbf{X}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Denom}(\mathbf{X})$ is a product of factors of degree $\leq q$, it is coprime to the irreducible polynomial $U(\mathbf{X})$. It follows that $U(\mathbf{X})$ divides $\operatorname{Numer}(\mathbf{X}) / \operatorname{Denom}(\mathbf{X})=f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X})+f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X})$. Therefore $\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)=\left(f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{* *}\right)$ are two nonabsolute lines of $P G(2, F)$ which intersect in a nonabsolute point. Let $\langle\mathbf{v}\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathbf{v}^{* *}\right\rangle$ be absolute points on $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{* *}\right)$ respectively. Then $\left\langle\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{* *}\right\rangle$ is a nonabsolute line, which we may call $\mathcal{Z}\left(\ell^{*}\right)$. The previous argument shows that $\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)=\left(f_{\ell^{*}}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)=\left(f_{\ell^{* *}}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$. Therefore the ideal $\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$ is independent of the choice of nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$.

We denote $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}(U):=\left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$. We will show (Lemma 3.3) that any homogeneous polynomial of degree $\leq q^{2}$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, lies in $\mathcal{I}$. But first, we prove the following, valid for arbitrary $n \geq 2$. (We follow the convention that $0^{0}=1$, and $F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]=0$ whenever $d<0$. Also, we abbreviate $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$.)
3.2 Lemma. Let $U(\mathbf{X})=\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_{i}^{q+1}$ where $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. Use the division algorithm to write $f(\mathbf{X})=g(\mathbf{X}) U(\mathbf{X})+\sum_{i=0}^{q} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) X_{0}^{i}$ for uniquely determined polynomials $g(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d-q-1}[\mathbf{X}]$ and $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \in F_{d-i}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]=F_{d-i}\left[X_{1}\right.$, $\left.X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$. Then $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) x_{0}^{i}=0$ for every absolute point $\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle=\left\langle\left(x_{0}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle$.
(Note: The conclusion says that $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, q$ if $x_{0} \neq 0$; or $f_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $x_{0}=0$.)

Proof. Let $\omega \in F$ be a primitive $(q+1)$-st root of unity. Suppose that a given point $\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is absolute, i.e. $U(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}^{q+1}=0$. Clearly, all the points $\left\langle\left(\omega^{j} x_{0}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ are absolute, for $j=0,1, \ldots, q$. By hypothesis, we have

$$
0=f\left(\omega^{j} x_{0}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} \omega^{i j} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) x_{0}^{i}
$$

for $j=0,1, \ldots, q$. We may regard this as a system of $q+1$ linear equations in the unknowns $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) x_{0}^{i}$, having a Vandermonde coefficient matrix whose determinant is $\prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq q}\left(\omega^{j}-\right.$ $\left.\omega^{i}\right) \neq 0$. This implies that $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) x_{0}^{i}=0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, q$.
3.3 Lemma. Let $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ where $d \leq q^{2}$. Then $f(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{I}(U)$.

Proof. We have seen that every polynomial in $\mathcal{I}$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. Conversely, suppose that $f(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. We may assume that $U(\mathbf{X})=X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}$. The line $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is nonabsolute, and so $\mathcal{I}=\left(f_{X}(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})\right)$ where $f_{X}(\mathbf{X})=f_{X}(X, Y, Z)=$ $X\left(Y^{q^{2}} Z-Y Z^{q^{2}}\right) /\left(Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right)=X Y \prod_{\alpha^{q+1} \neq-1}(\alpha Y+Z)$. As in Lemma 3.2, we may write $f(\mathbf{X})=g(\mathbf{X}) U(\mathbf{X})+\sum_{i=0}^{q} f_{i}(Y, Z) X^{i}$ for certain polynomials $g(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d-q-1}[\mathbf{X}]$, $f_{i}(Y, Z) \in F_{d-i}[Y, Z]$. It suffices now to show that $f_{X}(X, Y, Z)$ divides each of the terms $f_{i}(Y, Z) X^{i}$.

It is clear that $X$ divides $f_{i}(Y, Z) X^{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, q$. We must show that $f_{0}(Y, Z)=$ $0 \in F_{d}[Y, Z]$. For any $y, z \in F$, there exists $x \in F$ such that $x^{q+1}+y^{q+1}+z^{q+1}=$ 0. By Lemma 3.2, $f_{0}(y, z)=0$. Therefore $Y^{q^{2}} Z-Y Z^{q^{2}}$ divides $f_{0}(Y, Z)$. However, $\operatorname{deg} f_{0}(Y, Z)=d \leq q^{2}$, so $f_{0}(Y, Z)=0$ as claimed.

The remaining linear factors of $f_{X}(X, Y, Z)$ are of the form $\alpha Y+\beta Z$ where $\alpha^{q+1}+$ $\beta^{q+1} \neq 0$. Given such $\alpha$ and $\beta$, there exists $x \neq 0$ such that $x^{q+1}+\alpha^{q+1}+\beta^{q+1}=0$. Thus $\langle(x, \beta,-\alpha)\rangle$ is an absolute point. By Lemma $3.2, f_{i}(\beta,-\alpha) x^{i}=0$, and so $\alpha Y+\beta Z$ divides $f_{i}(Y, Z)$.

Thus $f_{X}(X, Y, Z)$ divides $f_{i}(Y, Z) X^{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, q$, and so $f(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{I}$.

The following will be used in Section 4.
3.4 Lemma. Let $U(\mathbf{X})=X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}$, and $f(\mathbf{X})=f(X, Y, Z) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ where $d \leq q^{2}$. Suppose that $f(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes at every nonabsolute point of $P G(2, F)$, and at every point of the nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}(X)$. Then
for some $\lambda \in F$.

$$
f(\mathbf{X})=\lambda X \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in G F(q) \\ \alpha \neq 1}}\left(\alpha X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $f(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes at all $q^{2}+1$ points of $\mathcal{Z}(X)$, and $\operatorname{deg} f(\mathbf{X}) \leq q^{2}$, we have $f(\mathbf{X})=X g(\mathbf{X})$ for some $g(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d-1}[\mathbf{X}]$.

Consider an absolute line of the form $\mathcal{Z}(Y+c Z)$, where $c^{q+1}=-1$. This line has $q^{2}$ nonabsolute points $\langle(1, \lambda c,-\lambda)\rangle, \lambda \in F$, and $g(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes at each of these $q^{2}$ points. Since
$\operatorname{deg} g(\mathbf{X}) \leq q^{2}-1$, we have $(Y+c Z) \mid g(\mathbf{X})$. Thus $f(\mathbf{X})=X r(\mathbf{X}) \prod_{c^{q+1}=-1}(Y+c Z)=$ $X\left(Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right) r(\mathbf{X})$ for some $r(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d-q-2}[\mathbf{X}]$.

For all $\alpha \in G F(q) \backslash\{0,1\}$, the polynomial $X r(\mathbf{X})$ of degree $\leq q^{2}-q-1$ vanishes at every point of the nondegenerate Hermitian curve $\mathcal{Z}\left(\alpha X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\left(\alpha X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right) \mid X r(\mathbf{X})$, and so $\left(\alpha X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}\right) \mid r(\mathbf{X})$. The result now follows.

## 4. A Nullstellensatz

Our goal in this section is to prove the following extension of Lemma 3.3.
4.1 Theorem. Suppose that $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ vanishes at every point of a nondegenerate Hermitian variety $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ of $P G\left(n, q^{2}\right)$.
(i) If $n=1$, then $U$ divides $f$.
(ii) If $n=2$ and $d \leq q^{2}$, then $f \in \mathcal{I}(U)$.
(iii) If $n \geq 3$ and $d \leq q^{2}$, then $U$ divides $f$.

Proof. Suppose first that $n=1$, and that $U\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=X_{0}^{q} X_{1}-X_{0} X_{1}^{q}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(U)=$ $\{\langle(1,0)\rangle\} \cup\{\langle(\alpha, 1)\rangle: \alpha \in K\}=P G(1, K)$, embedded as a Baer subline of $P G(1, F)$. If $f(\alpha, \beta)=0$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \neq(0,0)$, then $f(\mathbf{X})$ is divisible by $\beta X_{0}-\alpha X_{1}$. Thus if $f(\mathbf{X})$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, then $f(\mathbf{X})$ is divisible by $X_{0} \prod_{\alpha \in K}\left(\alpha X_{0}-X_{1}\right)=U(\mathbf{X})$, as required.

For $n=2$, conclusion (ii) follows from Lemma 3.3. We proceed to prove conclusion (iii) by induction on $n$. In the remainder of the proof, we will always assume the standard Hermitian form $U(\mathbf{X})=\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_{i}^{q+1}$. Also, we may assume without loss of generality that $d=q^{2}$; otherwise replace $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ by $X_{0}^{q^{2}-d} f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}}[\mathbf{X}]$.

Suppose first that $n=3$. We may assume without loss of generality (see Lemma 3.2) that $f(\mathbf{X})=\sum_{i=0}^{q} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) X_{0}^{i}$ where $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \in F_{q^{2}-i}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]=F_{q^{2}-i}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$, and we must show that each $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=0$. We first show that $f_{0}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=0 \in F_{q^{2}}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]$. Given any $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in$ $F$, there exists $x_{0} \in F$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{3} x_{i}^{q+1}=0$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $f_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$. Since $f_{0}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \in F_{q^{2}}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]$ vanishes everywhere, we have $f_{0}=0$ as claimed.

Now suppose that $1 \leq i \leq q$, and we show that $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=0$. We use $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ as coördinates for the nondegenerate hyperplane $H=\mathcal{Z}\left(X_{0}\right)$, with the standard unitary
form $U_{H}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i}^{q+1}$. Let $\left\langle\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ be any nonabsolute point of $H$. If $x_{1} \neq 0$, then there exists $\alpha \in F \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\left\langle\left(\alpha x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ is an absolute point of $P G(3, F)$; by Lemma 3.2, we have $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\left(\alpha x_{1}\right)^{i}=0$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) x_{1}^{i}=0$. Clearly, $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}^{i}$ also vanishes at every point of the nonabsolute line $\mathcal{Z}_{H}\left(X_{1}\right)$ of $H$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}^{i}=\lambda X_{1} \prod_{1 \neq \beta \in G F(q)}\left(\beta X_{1}^{q+1}+X_{2}^{q+1}+X_{2}^{q+1}\right)$. Thus $f_{2}=f_{3}=\ldots=f_{q}=0$ and $f_{1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda \prod_{\beta}\left(\beta X_{1}^{q+1}+X_{2}^{q+1}+X_{2}^{q+1}\right)$ for some $\lambda \in F$. However, a similar argument shows that $f_{1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=\mu X_{2} \prod_{\beta}\left(X_{1}^{q+1}+\beta X_{2}^{q+1}+X_{3}^{q+1}\right)$. Thus $f_{1}=0$. This completes the proof in the case $n=3$.

Now suppose that $n \geq 4$. Let $\varepsilon \in F$ such that $\varepsilon^{q+1}=-1$. For each $c \in F$, consider the hyperplane $H_{c}=\mathcal{Z}\left(X_{0}-\varepsilon X_{1}-c X_{2}\right)$. The restriction of $U(\mathbf{X})$ to $H_{c}$ is given by $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=c^{q} \varepsilon X_{1}^{q} X_{2}+c \varepsilon^{q} X_{1} X_{2}^{q}+\left(1+c^{q+1}\right) X_{2}^{q+1}+\sum_{i=3}^{n} X_{i}^{q+1}$. We see that $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ (and so also $H_{c}$ ) is nondegenerate whenever $c \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $c \neq d$ are nonzero elements of $F$, then clearly the polynomials $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ and $U_{d}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ have no common factor. As before, we may suppose that $f(\mathbf{X})=\sum_{i=0}^{q} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) X_{0}^{i}$ where $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \in F_{q^{2}-i}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]=F_{q^{2}-i}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$. Suppose that $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=U_{c}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$. Then $U\left(\varepsilon x_{1}+c x_{2}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0$, so by Lemma 3.2, we have $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\left(\varepsilon x_{1}+c x_{2}\right)^{i}=0$. By induction, $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ divides $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)\left(\varepsilon X_{1}+c X_{2}\right)^{i} \in F_{q^{2}}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]$. Since $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ has no linear factors, this implies that $U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \mid f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\prod_{0 \neq c \in F} U_{c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ divides $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$. Comparing degrees gives $f_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=0$.

## 5. Determining the $p$-ranks

Define $F_{d}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ to be the subspace of $F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ spanned by all monomials of the form $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}:=$ $X_{0}^{i_{0}} X_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}}$ such that $i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=d$ and $p$ does not divide the multinomial coefficient $\binom{d}{\mathbf{i}}:=\binom{d}{i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}}=\frac{d!}{i_{0}!i_{1}!\cdots i_{n}!}$. We state a few properties of $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ without proof; for proofs and details, see [2]. The group $G=G L(n+1, F)$ acts naturally on $F_{1}[\mathbf{X}]$ with respect to the basis $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$. This action extends uniquely to an action on the algebra $F[\mathbf{X}]$, for which each homogeneous part $F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$ is an $F G$-submodule. The space $F_{d}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ is invariant under linear changes of coördinates; that is, $F_{d}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ is an $F G$-submodule of $F_{d}[\mathbf{X}]$.

Let $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}:=F_{p-1}[\mathbf{X}]$, considered as an $F G$-module in the usual way, i.e. $T \in G$ acts on $f(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{V}_{p-1}$ via $f(\mathbf{X}) \mapsto f(T \mathbf{X}):=f\left(T X_{0}, \ldots, T X_{n}\right)$. Let $\sigma: F \rightarrow F$ be the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{p}$, and allow $\sigma$ to act naturally on $G$ and on $F[\mathbf{X}]$ by applying $\sigma$ to
each matrix entry and to each polynomial coefficient. For each $k=0,1, \ldots, 2 e-1$, a new $F G$-module $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)}$ is obtained by twisting $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}$ by the automorphism $\sigma^{k}$. That is, $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)}$ has the same elements as $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}$, but the action of $T \in G$ on $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)}$ is given by

$$
f(\mathbf{X}) \mapsto f\left(T^{\sigma^{-k}} \mathbf{X}\right):=f\left(T^{\sigma^{-k}} X_{0}, \ldots, T^{\sigma^{-k}} X_{n}\right), \quad f(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)}
$$

Then we have an isomorphism of $F G$-modules

$$
\bigotimes_{k=0}^{e-1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(e+k)}\right) \rightarrow F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]
$$

determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes f_{e}(\mathbf{X})\right) & \otimes\left(f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes f_{e+1}(\mathbf{X})\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(f_{e-1}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes f_{2 e-1}(\mathbf{X})\right) \\
& \mapsto \prod_{k=0}^{e-1} f_{k}\left(\mathbf{X}^{p^{k}}\right) f_{e+k}\left(\mathbf{X}^{p^{e+k}}\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{e-1}\left(f_{k}^{\sigma^{-k}}(\mathbf{X})\right)^{p^{k}}\left(f_{e+k}^{\sigma^{-e-k}}(\mathbf{X})\right)^{p^{e+k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}^{p^{k}}:=\left(X_{0}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)$. (The advantage of pairing $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)}$ with $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(e+k)}$ will become apparent later.) In particular, $\operatorname{dim} F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]=\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}$. The following is an analogue of Lemma 2.7 of [2], and so we provide here only the outline of a proof.
5.1 Lemma. $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}=1+\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}-\operatorname{dim}\left\{f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]: f\right.$ vanishes at every point of $\mathcal{Z}(U)\}$.

Sketch of Proof. Let $M_{1}=\left(\mathbf{x y}^{\top}\right)^{q^{2}-1}$ be the $\left(\left(q^{2}-1\right) s+1\right) \times q^{2(n+1)}$ matrix having rows indexed by the row vectors $\mathbf{x} \in F^{n+1}$ such that $U(\mathbf{x})=0$, and columns indexed by all the row vectors $\mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{p} M_{1}=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(J-A_{1}\right)$, since $J-A_{1}$ is obtained from $M_{1}$ by deleting duplicate rows and columns, and deleting the all-zero row and column.

The number of absolute points on a given hyperplane $H$ is $\left(q^{n}+(-1)^{n-1}\right)\left(q^{n-1}-\right.$ $\left.(-1)^{n-1}\right) /\left(q^{2}-1\right) \equiv 1 \bmod p$ if $H$ is nonabsolute, or $1+q\left(q^{n-1}+(-1)^{n-2}\right)\left(q^{n-2}-\right.$ $\left.(-1)^{n-2}\right) /\left(q^{2}-1\right) \equiv 1 \bmod p$ if $H$ is absolute. So the sum (modulo $p$ ) of the rows of $A_{1}$ is $\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Furthermore, every point lies on $m \equiv 1 \bmod p$ hyperplanes, so the row space of $J-A_{1}$ lies in $\mathbf{1}^{\perp}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Row}\left(A_{1}\right)=\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle \oplus \operatorname{Row}\left(J-A_{1}\right)$, and so $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}=1+\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(J-A_{1}\right)=1+\operatorname{rank}_{p} M_{1}$.

Now we have $\operatorname{rank}_{p} M_{1}=q^{2(n+1)}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the right null space of $M_{1}$. Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{\mathbf{y}}: \mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}\right)$. Then $M_{1} \mathbf{a}^{\top}=\mathbf{b}^{\top}=\left(b_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbf{x} \in F^{n+1}, U(\mathbf{x})=0\right)^{\top}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\mathbf{x}} & =\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}} a_{\mathbf{y}}\left(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}^{\top}\right)^{q^{2}-1} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}} a_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{\Sigma \mathbf{i}=q^{2}-1}\binom{q^{2}-1}{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{i}} \\
& =\sum_{\Sigma \mathbf{i}=q^{2}-1}\binom{q^{2}-1}{\mathbf{i}}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}} a_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{i}}\right] \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathbf{a}^{\top} \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if the polynomial $f_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{X}):=\sum_{\Sigma \mathbf{i}=q^{2}-1}\binom{q^{2}-1}{\mathbf{i}}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in F^{n+1}} a_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{i}}\right] \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$
$\in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ vanishes at every point of $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 of [2] that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{N}=\operatorname{dim} F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]-\operatorname{dim}\left\{f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]: f\right.$ vanishes at every point of $\left.\mathcal{Z}(U)\right\}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]=\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}$, the result follows.

For convenience, we henceforth assume the following.
5.2 Assumption. $U(\mathbf{X})$ is a nondegenerate unitary form, of the form
$X_{0}^{q+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q}$ where $a_{j i}^{q}=a_{i j}$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right) \neq 0$.
We produce a convenient basis of $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$, by first producing a basis for each of the factors $\mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(k)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{p-1}^{(e+k)}, k=0,1, \ldots, e-1$. We abbreviate the degree of a monomial $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}=X_{0}^{i_{0}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}}$ by $\sum \mathbf{i}:=i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}$; of course, $i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}$ are non-negative integers. If $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}=X_{0}^{j_{0}} \cdots X_{n}^{j_{n}}$ is another such monomial, we abbreviate $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}+p^{e} \mathbf{j}}=\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}+q \mathbf{j}}=$ $X_{0}^{i_{0}+q j_{0}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}+q j_{n}}$. Let $\left\{g_{1}(\mathbf{X}), \ldots, g_{b^{\prime}}(\mathbf{X})\right\}$ be the set of polynomials of the form $U(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}+q \mathbf{j}}$ such that $\sum \mathbf{i}=\sum \mathbf{j}=p-2$; here $b^{\prime}=\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}$. Also let $\left\{g_{b^{\prime}+1}(\mathbf{X}), \ldots, g_{b}(\mathbf{X})\right\}$ be the set of monomials of the form $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}+q \mathbf{j}}$ such that $\sum \mathbf{i}=\sum \mathbf{j}=p-1$ and $i_{0} j_{0}=0$; here $b=\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2}$. Define $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\prod_{k=0}^{e-1} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}}: 1 \leq r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{e-1} \leq b\right\}$. Observe that $g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}}=g_{r_{k}}^{\sigma^{k}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{p^{k}}\right)$. It follows directly from earlier discussion that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$. We also define $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}:=\left\{\prod_{k=0}^{e-1} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}} \in \mathcal{B}\right.$ : at least one $\left.r_{k} \leq b^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$ be the span of $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. The following is immediate.
5.3 Lemma. $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$ is a subspace of $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ of dimension $b^{e}-\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}-$ $\left[\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}\right]^{e}$. Moreover, every member of $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$ is divisible by $U(\mathbf{X})$.

Each $\prod_{k=0}^{e-1} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}} \in \mathcal{B}$, when expanded into monomials in $\mathbf{X}$, contains a unique monomial $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ of highest degree in $X_{0}$. This defines a bijection $\theta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow\left\{\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}: \Sigma \mathbf{i}=q^{2}-1\right.$ and $\left.p \nmid\binom{q^{2}-1}{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$ from the basis $\mathcal{B}$ to the standard basis of $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$. Furthermore, $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of all monomials $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}=X_{0}^{i_{0}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}}$ of degree $q^{2}-1$ such that in the $p$-ary expansion $i_{0}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 e-1} i_{0, k} p^{k}$, we have $i_{0, k} i_{0, e+k}>0$ for some $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, e-1\}$; for by definition, $i_{0, j} i_{0, e+j}>0 \Leftrightarrow U(\mathbf{X})\left|g_{r_{j}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{j}} \Leftrightarrow U(\mathbf{X})\right| g_{r_{j}}(\mathbf{X}) \Leftrightarrow r_{j} \leq b^{\prime}$.
5.4 Lemma. Let $n \geq 2$, and let $U(\mathbf{X})$ be as in Assumption 5.2. Define $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$ as above. Then the following three statements are equivalent.
(i) $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}=\left[\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}\right]^{e}+1$.
(ii) $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}=F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}] \cap U(\mathbf{X}) F_{q^{2}-q-2}[\mathbf{X}]$.
(iii) If $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ contains no monomials in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, and $U(\mathbf{X}) \mid f(\mathbf{X})$, then $f(\mathbf{X})=0$. Moreover, these conditions hold for $n=2$.

Before proving Lemma 5.4, we observe that condition (i) is independent of the choice of $U(\mathbf{X})$ satisfying Assumption 5.2; hence Lemma 5.4 implies that (ii) and (iii) are likewise independent of the choice of $U(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We first verify conditions (i) and (ii) when $n=2$. In this case, $A_{11}$ is an identity matrix of size $q^{3}+1$, so that $\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1}=q^{3}+1$, and (i) holds. By Lemma 5.1, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left\{f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]: f \text { vanishes at } P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\} \\
& \quad=\binom{p+1}{2}^{2 e}-p^{3 e}=\binom{p+1}{2}^{2 e}-\left[\binom{p+1}{2}^{2}-\binom{p}{2}^{2}\right]^{e}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (ii) holds as well.
Next we show that (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). We may suppose that $n \geq 3$. Combining Theorem 4.1 and Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}_{p} A_{1} & =1+\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}-\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}] \cap U(\mathbf{X}) F_{q^{2}-q-2}[\mathbf{X}]\right) \\
& \leq 1+\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2 e}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}} \\
& =1+\left[\binom{p+n-1}{n}^{2}-\binom{p+n-2}{n}^{2}\right]^{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

and equality holds iff $\mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}=F_{q^{2-1}}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}] \cap U(\mathbf{X}) F_{q^{2}-q-2}[\mathbf{X}]$. Thus (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).
Assume that (ii) holds, and suppose $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ contains no monomials in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, and $U(\mathbf{X}) \mid f(\mathbf{X})$. If $f(\mathbf{X}) \neq 0$, then expand $f(\mathbf{X})$ in terms of the basis $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, and
let $\prod_{k=0}^{e-1} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ be a basis element appearing (with nonzero coefficient) in this expansion of $f(\mathbf{X})$, for which the degree in $X_{0}$ is maximal. By our choice of $\prod_{k} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}}$, no other elements of the basis $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ contribute the same monomial $\theta\left(\prod_{k} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}}\right)$, and so $f(\mathbf{X})$ contains a monomial in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, contrary to the hypothesis. Thus (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Conversely, assume (iii) holds, and suppose that $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ is divisible by $U(\mathbf{X})$. We must show that $f(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$. If $f(\mathbf{X})$ contains no monomials in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, then $f(\mathbf{X})=0$ and we are done. Otherwise, choose a monomial $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}=X_{0}^{i_{0}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}}=\theta\left(\prod_{k=0}^{e-1} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}}\right) \in$ $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$ appearing in $f(\mathbf{X})$ (with coefficient $c \neq 0$, say) for which $i_{0}$ is maximal. Then $f(\mathbf{X})-c \prod_{k} g_{r_{k}}(\mathbf{X})^{p^{k}} \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ is also divisible by $U(\mathbf{X})$, and has one fewer monomial of degree $i_{0}$ in $X_{0}$, than does $f(\mathbf{X})$. After a finite number of iterations, we obtain $f(\mathbf{X})-g(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ having no monomials in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, where $g(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$; then by assumption, $f(\mathbf{X})-g(\mathbf{X})=0$, and so (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We must show that the conditions of Lemma 5.4 hold for all $n \geq 2$. The case $n=2$ is already settled. Hence we assume $n \geq 3$ and proceed by induction on $n$.

Suppose that $f(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ contains no monomials in $\theta\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, and $U(\mathbf{X}) \mid f(\mathbf{X})$. We must show that $f(\mathbf{X})=0$. Let $H:=\mathcal{Z}\left(X_{0}\right)$, and as before, abbreviate $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right.$, $\ldots, X_{n}$ ). Let $W$ be any nondegenerate hyperplane of $H$ (so that $W$ has codimension 2 in $P G(V)$ ). Then $W=H \cap \mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ for some nonzero $\ell\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \in F_{1}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]$ which depends on the choice of $W$ only to within a nonzero scalar multiple. Choose this nonzero scalar multiple so that the last of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ appearing in $\ell\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ (with nonzero coefficient), appears with coefficient 1. For the sake of argument, we assume that $\ell\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=X_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} X_{i}$. (The argument is similar if $\ell\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=X_{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{i} X_{i}, 1 \leq k<n$.) Now $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)=W \oplus$ $\langle(1,0,0,0, \ldots, 0)\rangle$ is a nondegenerate hyperplane of $P G(V)$. Thus $U_{W}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right):=$ $U\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \sum c_{i} X_{i}\right)$ is a nondegenerate unitary form in $\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)$, and $U_{W}$ divides $f_{W}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right):=f\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \sum c_{i} X_{i}\right)$. Observe that $U_{W}$ satisfies Assumption 5.2 for $n-1$ in place of $n$. Every monomial appearing in $f(\mathbf{X})$ is of the form $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}=X_{0}^{i_{0}} X_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots X_{n}^{i_{n}}$ where $i_{0,0} i_{0, e}=i_{0,1} i_{0, e+1}=\cdots=i_{0, e-1} i_{0,2 e-1}=0$ for the digits in the $p$-ary expansion $i_{0}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 e-1} i_{0, k} p^{k}$. Hence every monomial appearing in $f_{W}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)$ is of the form $X_{0}^{i_{0}} X_{1}^{i_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ where $i_{0}$ is as before. Furthermore, $f_{W}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) \in F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ by Lemma 2.5(i) of [2]. By induction, we have $f_{W}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)=0$, i.e. $\ell\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) \mid f(\mathbf{X})$. The number of distinct linear factors of $f(\mathbf{X})$
obtained in this way, equals the number of nondegenerate hyperplanes of $H$, which by Lemma 2.1, equals $\left(q^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right)\left(q^{n-1}+(-1)^{n}\right) /\left(q^{2}-1\right) \geq q^{2}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)$, and this exceeds $q^{2}-1$. Thus $f(\mathbf{X})=0$ as required.

Finally, we identify the row space of $A_{1}$ over $F$, as a module for $H$, the isometry group of $U(\mathbf{X})$ (i.e. $H=\{T \in G L(n+1, F): U(T \mathbf{X})=U(\mathbf{X})\}$, the unitary group). First recall (cf. [2]) that

$$
\operatorname{Row}(A) \cong\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle \oplus F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]
$$

as $F G$-modules where $G=G L(n+1, F)$; 'Row' denotes row space over $F$; and $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ is the one-dimensional trivial module. Also recall that $F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}]$ is the subspace of $F_{q^{2}-1}[\mathbf{X}]$ spanned by all polynomials of the form $\ell(\mathbf{X})^{q^{2}-1}$ where $\ell(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{1}[\mathbf{X}]$. The following may be shown by arguments similar to those found in [2].
5.5 Theorem. Let $\mathcal{L}_{U, \mathbf{X}}$ be the subspace of $F_{q^{2}-1}[\mathbf{X}]$ spanned by all polynomials of the form $\ell(\mathbf{X})^{q^{2}-1}$, where $\ell(\mathbf{X}) \in F_{1}[\mathbf{X}]$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ is a hyperplane tangent to the Hermitian variety $\mathcal{Z}(U)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Row}\left(A_{1}\right) \cong\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle \oplus \mathcal{L}_{U, \mathbf{X}} \cong\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle \oplus\left(F_{q^{2}-1}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{X}] / \mathcal{E}_{U, \mathbf{X}}\right)
$$

as $F H$-modules.
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